

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE AG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

MONDAY, THE 19TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/29TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1833 of 2015 (D)

PETITIONER :

ABHILASH P.G. AGED 34 YEARS
S/O. GOPI, PULIMKUZHY HOUSE, NADATHARA P.O.
MINOR ROAD, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.PHILIP T.VARGHESE
SRI.THOMAS T.VARGHESE
SMT.ACHU SUBHA ABRAHAM

RESPONDENTS :

1. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
THRISSUR-680 001.
2. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
OLLUR, THRISSUR-680 306.
3. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
OFFICE OF THE SUB INSPECTOR, MANNUTHY
THRISSUR-680 651.

R1 TO R3 BY STATE ATTORNEY, SRI.P. VIJAYARAGHAVAN.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
19-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 1833 of 2015 (D)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

EXT.P1 : COPY OF THE ORDER NO.210/14-15/2837/OE/C2/TDO/14 ISSUED BY
THE GEOLOGIST, THRISSUR, DTD.16.12.2014.

EXT.P1(A): COPY OF TRANSLATION OF EXT.P1.

EXT.P2 : COPY OF THE ORDER NO.D1-19119/14 ISSUED BY THE REVENUE
DIVISIONAL OFFICER, THRISSUR, DTD.1.1.2015.

EXT.P2(A): COPY OF TRANSLATION OF EXT.P2.

EXT.P3 : COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER TO
THE 1ST RESPONDENT DTD.12.1.2015.

EXT.P4 : COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER TO
THE 2ND RESPONDENT DTD.12.1.2015.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS :

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

ASHOK BHUSHAN, Ag.C.J. & A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

W.P.(C) No. 1833 OF 2015

Dated this the 19th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ashok Bhushan, Ag.C.J.

Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and learned State Attorney.

2. By this writ petition the petitioner has prayed for a mandamus directing the 3rd respondent not to intimidate or harass the petitioner in any manner. Allegations have been made that petitioner's vehicle was seized and in spite of the order to release, the same was released only on the next day evening. It is further alleged that he was threatened that he will be implicated in criminal cases. The petitioner in this context has also filed certain complaints to the Commissioner of Police, Thrissur.

3. Learned State Attorney submits that in regard to the allegation against police officers is concerned, it is open for the petitioner to file a complaint before higher authorities. Hence the writ petition on the said ground need not be entertained.

4. Petitioner, having already filed Exts.P3 and P4 representations, we see no reason to entertain this writ petition under Article 226. If so advised, petitioner may pursue his representations.

With the above observation, the Writ Petition is closed.

**Ashok Bhushan,
Acting Chief Justice.**

**A.M. Shaffique,
Judge.**