

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.P.RAY

WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF NOVEMBER 2012/30TH KARTHIKA 1934

WP(C).No. 11963 of 2006 (Y)

PETITIONER(S) :

BIJU J.S.,
PRINTER GRADE-I, PRINTING SECTION, KERALA UNIVERSITY
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADVS.SRI.G.SASIDHARAN CHEMPAZHANTHIYIL
SRI.S.VISHNU

RESPONDENT(S) :

1. KERALA UNIVERSITY REP. BY
ITS REGISTRAR, KERALA UNIVERSITY.
2. THE VICE CHANCELLOR,
KERALA UNIVERSITY.
3. HARIKUMAR K.,
OFFSET PRINTER, KERALA UNIVERSITY PRESS
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

R3	BY ADV. SRI.N.NANDAKUMARA MENON (SR.)
R1,R2	BY ADV. SRI.S.GOPAKUMARAN NAIR, SC KERALA UTY.
R1,R2	BY ADV. SRI.GEORGE POONTHOTTAM,SC,KERALA UTY.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
21-11-2012, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

JUDGMENT

None appears. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

21.11.2012

Sd/-
B.P.RAY, JUDGE

sd/-

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No. 11963 of 2006 (Y)

Dated this the 5th day of October, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The challenge in the above writ petition was with respect to the anticipated promotion of the 3rd respondent.

2. Admittedly, subsequent to the filing of the writ petition the 3rd respondent was promoted on 1.7.2006. The petitioner did not amend the writ petition, to challenge the said promotion itself.

3. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grounds raised would be sufficient to assail the subsequent promotion, it was incumbent upon the petitioner to have raised a specific challenge against the promotion made; at least by an amendment.

4. Further, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the 3rd respondent that the 3rd respondent was further promoted as Senior Foreman with effect from 26.07.2010.

In such circumstance, nothing survives in the writ petition and the same is dismissed.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE