

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON**

**FRIDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/26TH POUSHA, 1936**

**WP(C).No. 1711 of 2015 (L)**  
-----

**PETITIONER(S):**  
-----

**ANASWARA BALAN, AGED 16 YEARS, MINOR,  
REP. BY HER NEXT FRIEND MOTHER BABY BALAN,  
AGED 39 YEARS, R/AT PANIKERS HOUSE,  
P.O. MANIKKOTH, AJANUR VILLAGE,  
HOSDURG TALUK, KASARAGOD.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.T.B.SHAJIMON,  
SMT.GOVINDU P.RENUKADEVI.**

**RESPONDENT(S):**  
-----

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,  
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,  
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,  
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. THE GENERAL CONVENER,  
SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2014 - 2015,  
(THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS,  
JAGATHY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM) PIN - 695 001.**
- 3. GENERAL CONVENER,  
KASARAGOD REVENUE DISTRICT SCHOOL  
KALOLSAVAM 2014 - 2015, KADANGOD GOVERNMENT  
HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, KADANGOD CHERUVATHUR,  
CHERUVATHUR VILLAGE, HOSDURG.**

**BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.JOSEPH GEORGE.**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 16-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:**

**rs.**

WP(C).No. 1711 of 2015 (L)

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-**

**EXT.P-1:        A TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF MERIT ISSUED TO  
                     THE PETITIONER AND HER TROOP.**

**RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:-**

**NIL.**

**//TRUE COPY//**

**P.A TO JUDGE**

**rs.**

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON J.**

~~~~~

W.P.(C) No. 1711 of 2015

~~~~~

Dated, this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2015

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner was a participant in 'Oppana' competition held recently in the Kasargode Revenue District School Kalolsavam. According to the petitioner, though the petitioner's team performed well, after evaluation they were awarded only 3<sup>rd</sup> prize with A Grade, which according to the petitioner is not correct and hence an appeal was preferred, contending that the Judges were biased. But the appeal was dismissed, which in turn is under challenge in this writ petition.

2. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well, who submits that there is absolutely no pith or substance in the averments raised in the writ petition. It is stated that the Judges who evaluated performance were experts in the field. It is pointed out that the appeal preferred by the second prize winner stands dismissed and there is a margin of 12 marks between the petitioner's team and the 1<sup>st</sup> prize winners.

*W.P.(C) No. 1711 of 2014*

: 2 :

3. Considering the facts and circumstances, this Court finds that there is no tenable ground. Interference is declined and the writ petition is dismissed.

Sd/-

**P. R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,  
(JUDGE)**

kmd