

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

FRIDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/26TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1701 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER:

**GODSON BERLY AGED, 18 YEARS,
S/O.BERLY K.G, KATTUNKATHIYIL HOUSE
ANDHAKARANAZHI P.O., CHERTHALA, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT**

**BY ADVS.SRI.S.RENJITH
SRI.S.UNNIKRISHNAN (NELLAD)**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
JAGATHY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN-695 001**
- 2. THE PROGRAMME COMMITTEE CONVENER,
KERALA STATE SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2014-15,
KOZHIKODE OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION
KOZHIKODE**
- 3. THE PROGRAMME COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN,
ALAPPUZHA REVENUE DISTRICT KALOLSAVAM 2014-2015,
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,
KOZHIKODE.**

BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SRI. JOSEPH GEORGE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
16-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 1701 of 2015 (K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1 - COPY OF THE IDENTITY CARD OF THE PETITIONER FOR THE
ALAPPUZHA REVENUE DISTRICT KALOLSAVAM 2014-2015.**
- EXT.P2 - COPY OF ORDER REJECTING THE APPEAL BY THE 3RD
RESPONDENT DATED 11-01-2015.**

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

DST

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON J.

~~~~~

W.P.(C) No. 1701 of 2015

~~~~~

Dated, this the 16th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner was a participant in 'Dafmuttu' competition held recently in the Alappuzha Revenue District School Kalolsavam. According to the petitioner, though the petitioner's team performed well, after evaluation they were awarded only 3rd prize with A Grade, which according to the petitioner is not correct and hence an appeal was preferred, referring to defects on the stage. But the appeal was dismissed, as borne by Ext. P2, which in turn is under challenge in this writ petition.

2. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well, who submits that there is absolutely no pith or substance in the averments raised in the writ petition. It is stated that there was no default on the stage, as contended by the petitioner, and even otherwise, it was equally applicable to all the participants. It is pointed out that the first prize winner got 244 marks, whereas the petitioner could secure only 230 marks and as such, there is a margin of 14 marks.

W.P.(C) No. 1701 of 2015

: 2 :

3. Considering the facts and circumstances, this Court finds that there is no tenable ground. Interference is declined and the writ petition is dismissed.

Sd/-

**P. R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
(JUDGE)**

kmd