

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

FRIDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/26TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1620 of 2015 (B)

PETITIONER:

**M.V.EDWARD, AGED 59,
S/O.M.M.VAREEDKUTTY,
MANNAPARAMBIL HOUSE, MARADU.P.O.,
MARADU VILLAGE, KANAYANNUR TALUK.**

BY ADV. SRI.P.V.GEORGE(PUTHIYIDAM)

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO REVENUE,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**
- 2. TAHSILDAR,
KANAYANNOOR TALUK OFFICE,
ERNAKULAM, KOCHI - 682 011.**

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.MUHAMMED SHAFI.M

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 16-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

WP(C).No. 1620 of 2015 (B)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

**EXHIBIT P1- TRUE COPY OF THE SETTLEMENT DEED NO.2935/99 DATED 20.8.1999
REGISTERED AT SUB-REGISTRAR OFFICE, MARADU.**

**EXHIBIT P2- TRUE COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPT DATED 2.5.2007 FOR THE PERIOD
2007-2008 ISSUED BY VILLAGE OFFICER, MARADU.**

**EXHIBIT P3- TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 19.12.2014 SUBMITTED TO
2ND RESPONDENT ON 20.12.2014.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: - **NIL**

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

mbr/

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

=====

W.P.(C). No. 1620 of 2015

Dated this the 16th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has approached this Court with the following prayers:

“i. To issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, directing the 2nd respondent to measure and demarcate the boundaries of property as per Ext.P3.

ii. To issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, directing the 2nd respondent to provide the sketch of property covered by Ext.P1 to the petitioner.”

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the grievance of the petitioner has also been projected by way of Ext.P3 application pending consideration before the 2nd respondent and the prayer is only to cause the same to be considered and disposed of within a reasonable time.

2. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well.

3. Considering the limited nature of relief sought for, this Court does not find it necessary to go into the merits of the case. The writ petition is disposed of, directing the 2nd respondent to

consider and finalize Ext.P3 application in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and other interested parties, if any, at the earliest, at any rate, within 'two months' from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the 2nd respondent for further steps.

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
JUDGE.**

kp/-