

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 19TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/29TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1600 of 2015 (Y)

PETITIONER :

**NISHITH BABU,
S/O.RADHAKRISHNAN, NITHIN NIVAS,
PALAYAD P.O., THALASSERY.**

BY ADV. SRI.I.DINESH MENON

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
KANNUR- 670 001.**
- 2. THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
KANNUR -670 001.**

R1 & R2 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.R.RANJITH

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 19-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

sts

WP(C).No. 1600 of 2015 (Y)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**P1: TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE RTA KANNUR DATED 22/1/2014
ADJOURNING THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO.JUDGE

sts

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.1600 of 2015 - Y

=====

Dated this the 19th day of January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved by the non-consideration of the regular permit application, which is said to have been adjourned as per Ext.P1. However, neither going by Ext.P1 application nor by the averments, it is discernible as to when the regular permit application was in fact given before the RTA.

In such circumstance, there would be no positive direction to consider the same within a time frame. However, definitely the petitioner's application would have to be considered expeditiously.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

**K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.