

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

FRIDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/26TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1583 of 2015 (W)

PETITIONER:

**RAMESAN M., S/O. APPUKUTTAN,
MADATHIL HOUSE, PUTHUPPALLI P.O.,
SECRETARY,
SHARATH MANAL THOZHILALI UNION (AITUC),
P.O.CHAMRAVATTOM, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.**

**BY SRI.T.SETHUMADHAVAN (SENIOR ADVOCATE)
ADVS. SRI.PUSHPARAJAN KODOTH
SRI.K.JAYESH MOHANKUMAR
SMT.VANDANA MENON
SMT.N.DEEPA**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REP.BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
MALAPPURAM.**
- 3. THE SECRETARY,
THRIPRANGODE GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT-676 505.**

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.JOSEPH GEORGE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 16-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

WP(C).No. 1583 of 2015 (W)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

- P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE LIST OF WORKERS OF SHARATH KADAVU.**
- P2 : TRUE COPY OF RESOLUTION OF THE 3RD RESPONDENT DATED 27.2.2013.**
- P3 : TRUE COPY OF RESOLUTION OF THE 3RD RESPONDENT DATED 11.7.2013.**
- P4 : TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE JOINT COUNCIL
DATED 8.12.2014.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: - **NIL**

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

mbr/

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

=====

W.P.(C). No. 1583 of 2015

Dated this the 16th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has approached this Court with the following prayer:

“Issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction directing the 2nd respondent to take up Ext.P4 and to take a final decision re-allotting the river sand quota of 1200 ton allotted to 'Sharath Kadavu' to other collection centre for deploying the employees under the petitioners union to other Kadavu within the 3rd respondent Panchayath as decided as per Ext.P3.”

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the grievance of the petitioner has also been projected by way of Ext.P4 representation pending consideration before the 2nd respondent and the prayer is only to cause the same to be considered and disposed of within a reasonable time.

2. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well.

3. Considering the limited nature of relief sought for, this Court does not find it necessary to go into the merits of the case. The writ petition is disposed of, directing the 2nd respondent to

consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P4 representation in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, third respondent and other affected parties, if any, at the earliest, at any rate, within 'one month' from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the 2nd respondent for further steps.

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
JUDGE.**

kp/-