

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

THURSDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/25TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1570 of 2015 (U)

PETITIONERS :

1. ANJUSHA G.K., AGED 50 YEARS,
D/O.K.KRISHNA KUMAR, RESIDING AT NANADANM
NEDUMBRAM P.O., TIRUVALLA,
REPRESENTED BY FATHER AND NATURAL GUARDIAN KRISHNAKUMAR,
RESIDING AT NANDANAM, NEDUMBRAM P.O., TIRUVALLA.
2. APARNA A.KUMAR, AGED 16 YEARS,
D/O.AMBIKA, ERAMATH HOUSE, KAVUMBHAGOM, TIRUVALLA,
REPRESENTED BY MOTHER AND NATURAL GUARDIAN,
AMBIKA ERAMATH HOUSE, KAVUMBHAGOM, TIRUVALLA.
3. RESHMA RAMACHANDRAN,D/O.JAYASREE, AGED 16 YEARS,
RESIDING AT PLANTHARAYIL HOUSE, VENPALA P.O.,THIRUVALLA,
REPRESENTED BY THE MOTHER AND NATURAL GUARDIAN JAYASREE
RESIDING AT PLANTHARAYIL HOUSE, VENPALA P.O., THIRUVALLA.
4. SIDHI SUNIL,D/O.VINI SUNIL, AGED 16 YEARS,
KIZHAKKETHIL HOUSE, VENPALA P.O., THIRUVALLA,
REPRESENTED BY TE MOTHER AD NATURAL GUARDINA VINI SUNIL
KIZHAKKETHIL HOUSE, VENPALA P.O., THIRUVALLA.
5. VINAYA JAYARAM, D/O.AMBIKA JAYARAM,AGED 16 YEARS,
RESIDING AT EDAKKULANJI PADINJARETHIL, THOLASSERI
THIRUVALLA, REPRESENTED BY THE MOTHER AND NATURAL
GUARDIAN AMBIKA JAYARAM RESIDING AT EDAKKULANJI PADINJARETHIL.
6. LIBINA GEORGE, AGED 16 YEARS,
D/O.SALAMMA GEORGE, RESIDING AT KARUKAYYIL HOUSE,
KOYILMUKKU, PANDANKARI P.O., THIRUVILLA
REPRESENTED BY THE MOTHER AND NATURAL GUARDIAN SALAMMA
GEORGE RESIDING AT KARUKAYIL HOUSE, KOYILMUKKU
PANDANKARI.P.O., THIRUVALLA.
7. SHEEBA MARY KURIAN, D/O.BIJI KURIAN
PANDISSERI HOUSE, KUTTOOR P.O., THIRUVALLA
REPRESENTED BY THE MOTHER AND NATURAL GUARDIAN
BIJI KURIAN, PANDISSERI HOUSE, KUTTOOR P.O.
TIRUVALLA.

WP(C).No. 1570 of 2015 (U)

- 8. ANU THOMAS, D/O.RAJAN THOMAS, AGED 16 YEARS,
PANACKAMATTOM HOUSE, NIRANAM P.O., TIRUVALLA
REPRESENTED BY FATHER AND NATURAL GUARDIAN
RAJAN THOMAS, PANACKAMATTOM HOUSE, NIRANAM P.O.,
TIRUVALLA.**
- 9. NIDHI SABU, AGED 16 YEARS, TIRUVALLA, D/O.CHACHIKUTTY,
OTTATHIL HOUSE, WEST OTHARA P.O., TIRUVALLA
REPRESENTED BY MOTHER AND NATURAL GUARDIAN CHACHIKUTTY
OTTATHIL HOUSE, WEST OTHARA P .O., TIRUVALLA.**
- 10. SHEEBA THOMAS, AGED 16 YEARS, D/O.THOMAS,
KOTTARATHIL HOUSE, MEPRAL P.O., THRIVALLA
REPRESENTED BY FATHER AND NATURAL GUARDIAN THOMAS
KOTTARATHIL HOUSE, MEPRAL P.O., THRIVALLA.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.N.RADHAKRISHNAN(THIRUVALLA)
SRI.CIRIL.T.EAPEN**

RESPONDENTS :

- 1. DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,
PATHANAMTHITTA AT THIRUVALLA PIN- 689645**
- 2. CONVENOR,
PATHANAMTHITTA REVENUE DISTRICT SCHOOL
KALOLSAVAM-2014-15, TIRUVALLA
(DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER, TIRUVALLA)**
- 3. STATE CONVENOR,
STATE SCHOOL KALOLSAAM 2014-15, KOZHIKODE.**

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. MUHAMMED SHAFI M.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 15-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

bp

WP(C).No. 1570 of 2015 (U)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY FO THE ORDER NO.C47740/14 IN APPEAL NO.50
DATED 12.01.2015**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

bp

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

.....
W.P.(C)1570 OF 2015
.....

Dated this the 15th January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioners were members of the team for the event '**Oppana**' in the Pathanamthitta Revenue District School Kalolsavam, 2014-15. The case of the petitioners is that on completion of the event, their performance was not properly appreciated, as a result of which they were denied the top position, virtually disabling them from competing in the State Kalolsavam, 2015. Even though an appeal was filed, the same came to be rejected as per Ext.P1 order. The main ground of challenge in the appeal was that the sound system was defective.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that absolutely no reason is stated in Ext.P1 order, particularly with regard to the grounds raised in the appeal.

3. The learned Government Pleader, on instruction, submits that there is absolutely no merit or bonafides in the plea raised from the part of the petitioner . It is added that no technical defect or mistake was reported at any point of time . That apart, there is a substantial difference of '6' marks between the

petitioners' team and the first prize winners' team.

This Court does not find any reason to call for interference. The writ petition is dismissed.

P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON
JUDGE

/k