

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

THURSDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/16TH MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1556 of 2015 (T)

PETITIONER:

RAJEEVGANDHI SUDHAJALA
VITHARANA SAMITHY,
REG NO IDK/TC/610/2013, VATHIKUDY GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
THOPRAMKUDY, IDUKKI DIST-686 609, REP BY THE SECRETARY,
RONIO ABRAHAM, CHIRAKKAPURAYIDATHIL HOUSE,
THOPRAMKUDY POST, IDUKKI DIST, PIN-685 609

BY ADVS.SRI.MATHEW JOHN (K)
SRI.DOMSON J.VATTAKUZH

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE VATHIKUDY GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
MURICKASSERI, IDUKKI DISTRICT,
REP BY THE SECRETARY - 685 609.
2. THE SECRETARY,
THE VATHIKUDY GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
MURICKASSERI,
IDUKKI DISTRICT - 685 609.

R1 & 2 BY ADV. SRI.M.M.SAIDU MUHAMMED

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
05-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

P1:-A TRUE COPY OF THE BANK ACCOUNT OF THE PETITIONER SOCIETY.

P2:-A TRUE COPY OF THE TECHNICAL APPRAISAL & SANCTION ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER SOCIETY.

P3:-A TRUE COPY OF THE INFORMATION OBTAINED UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT.

P4:-A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DTD 8/12/2014 BY THE PETITIONER SOCIETY.

EXT.P4(a): ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXHIBIT P4.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS : NIL

/True Copy/

P.A to Judge.

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

W.P. (C) No. 1556 of 2015 (T)

Dated this the 5th day of February, 2015.

JUDGMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Grama Panchayath, apart from perusing the record. Since the issue lies in a narrow compass, this Court proposes to dispose of the writ petition at the admission stage itself.

2. Briefly stated, the petitioner Society is said to be a beneficiary of Jalanidhi Water Supply Scheme. From the record, it is discernible that as per the said scheme 75% of the total cost is to be contributed by the Government and 15% by the Grama Panchayath, apart from 10% by the beneficiary group. To fructify the scheme, though the Government's contribution has already been deposited, it has not so far been handed over to the petitioner Society. In that regard, the Society is said to have submitted Ext.P4 representation to the 2nd respondent, who is yet to consider it. Aggrieved by what is

said to be the delay on the part of the second respondent in considering Ext.P4 representation, the petitioner has approached this Court.

In the facts and circumstances, having regard to the respective submissions of the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, disposes of the writ petition with a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider the petitioner's Ext.P4 representation in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders thereon, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

sd/- DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, JUDGE.

