

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

THURSDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/25TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1464 of 2015 (G)

PETITIONER :

**KOOLYATTIL MOHAMMED AYOOB
S/O.SAIDALI, AGED 50 YEARS, RESIDING AT VAILATHUR AMSOM
NHAMANANGHAT DESOM, CHAVAKKAD TALUK, THRISSUR DISTRICT
PIN - 679 563.**

BY ADV. SRI.JACOB SEBASTIAN

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, THRISSUR
CIVIL STATION, AYYANTHOLE, THRISSUR
PIN - 680 003.**
- 2. THE SUB COLLECTOR, THRISSUR,
CIVIL STATION, AYYANTHOLE, THRISSUR - 680 003**
- 3. THE DEPUTY TAHSILDAR,
CHAVAKKAD, CHAVAKKAD PO, THRISSUR - 680 506**
- 4. SAJITHA MOHAMMED
WIFE OF MOHAMED SALIM, MADATHIKATTIL
PERUMITISSERY DESOM, VELIYANKODE VILLAGE
PONNANI TALUK, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, PIN - 679 577.**
- 5. MUSTAFA, S/O.VALANGATTIL KADER HAJI,
VAILATHUR AMSOM, NHAMANANGHAT DESOM, CHAVAKKAD TALUK
THRISSUR DISTRICT, PIN - 679 563**
- 6. THONIYARAYIL ABDULKALAM,
S/O.VALANGATTIL KADER HAJI, VAILATHUR AMSOM
NHAMANANGHAT DESOM, CHAVAKKAD TALUK
THRISSUR DISTRICT, PIN - 679 563.**

R1 TO R3 BY SR. GOVT. PLEADER SRI.MOHAMMED SHAFI M.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 15-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

WP(C).No. 1464 of 2015 (G)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :

- EXBT P1- A TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED OCTOBER 26, 2009
SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER.**
- EXBT P2- A TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION SEEN TO HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED
BY THE RESPONDENTS FIVE AND SIX BEFORE THE SECOND
RESPONDENT.**
- EXBT P3- A TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED AUGUST 19, 2014 ISSUED BY
THE SECOND RESPONDENT.**
- EXBT P4- A TRUE COPY OF THE MEMORANDUM OF REVISION SUBMITTED BY
THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE FIRST RESPONDENT.**
- EXBT P5- A TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR STAY.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

Mn

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

.....
W.P.(C)No.1464 OF 2015
.....

Dated this the 15th January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Being aggrieved of Ext.P3 order passed by the second respondent /Sub Collector, the petitioner has already moved the statutory authority (District Collector) by filing Ext.P4, which in fact is a Revision, though styled as appeal. The prayer is to cause the same to be considered and disposed of within a reasonable time.

2. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well.

3. In view of the limited nature of relief sought for and proposed to be given, this Court does not find it necessary to issue notice to the respondents 4 to 6 or to adjudicate the issue on merits. The writ petition is disposed of directing the first respondent/District Collector to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P4 after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and the respondents 4 to 6 as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Ext.P5 application for interim stay shall be considered and appropriate orders shall be passed within two weeks.

The petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the first respondent for further steps.

P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON
JUDGE

/k