

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

FRIDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/26TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1448 of 2015 (E)

PETITIONER :

**ABHIRAMI.S.,
AGED 16 (MINOR), REP. BY HER MOTHER SHYLAJA T.A.,
SHIJUNIVAS, PONNAD P.O, MANNANCHERI,
ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.S.RENJITH

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
JAGATHY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN-695 001**
- 2. THE PROGRAMME COMMITTEE CONVENER,
KERALA STATE SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2014-15, KOZHIKODE
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,
KOZHIKODE.**
- 3. THE PROGRAMME COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN,
ALAPPUZHA REVENUE DISTRICT KALOLSAVAM 2014-2015,
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION, ALAPPUZHA.**

R1 TO R3 BY SR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.JOSEPH GEORGE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 16-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

sts

WP(C).No. 1448 of 2015 (E)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1 - COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER FOR THE
54TH STATE SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM CONDUCTED PALAKKAD**
- EXT.P2 - COPY OF THE IDENTITY CARD OF THE PETITIONER FOR THE
ALAPPUZHA REVENUE DISTRICT KALOLSAVAM 2014-2015**
- EXT.P3 - COPY OF ORDER REJECTING THE APPEAL BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT
DATED 11-1-2015**
- EXT.P4 - COPY OF THE COMPLAINT PREFERRED BY THE PETITIONER MOTHER
BEFORE THE VIGILANCE DY.S.P, ALAPPUZHA DATED 9-1-2015**
- EXT.P5 - COPY OF THE PAPER REPORT OF THE MALAYALA MANORAMA DAILY
ALAPPUZHA EDITION DATED 10-01-2015**
- EXT.P6 - COPY OF PAPER REPORT PUBLISHED BY THE MANGALAM DAILY
DATED 13-1-2015 ALAPPUZHA EDITION.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO.JUDGE

sts

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

=====

W.P.(C). No. 1448 of 2015

Dated this the 16th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner participated in the event 'Keralanadanam' in the District Level School Kalolsavam and could secure only the third place with A Grade. The appeal was rejected by the Appeal Committee as per Ext.P3 order allegedly without considering the contention that the performance was affected by the defective mike system and excessive light and hence the writ petition.

2. The learned Government Pleader submits on instructions that, there is no pith of substance in the contentions raised. The 1st prize winner got 247 marks where as the petitioner could secure only 237 marks, thus there is a difference of 10 marks. The allegation, with regard to the defective mike system and excessive light is wrong. Further, there was no such report from the stage manager.

3. After going through the pleadings and proceedings and the submissions of the learned Government Pleader, this Court finds that the petitioner has not established any tenable ground to call for interference. Accordingly, interference is declined and the writ petition is dismissed.

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
JUDGE.**