

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

FRIDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/26TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1434 of 2015 (D)

PETITIONER(S):

**KURIAN GEORGE, 58 YEARS OF AGE,
PERUMPALATHINKAL, PALIAKKARA, THIRUVALLA.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.SHIRAZ ABDULLA,
SRI.M.S.IMTHIYAZ AHAMMED,
SRI.JOJO PAPPACHAN.**

RESPONDENTS:-

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
GOVT. SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. ORGANIZATION COMMITTEE,
KERALA SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2014-15,
REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL COORDINATOR - DPI,
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 3. DISTRICT LEVEL APPEAL COMMITTEE OF
THE KERALA SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2014-15,
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT, REPRESENTED BY ITS
CHAIRMAN/DEPUTY DIRECTOR EDUCATION,
PATHANAMTHITTA AT THIRUVALLA-689 101.**

BY SR. GOVT. PLEADER SRI.JOSEPH GEORGE.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 16-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

WP(C).No. 1434 of 2015 (D)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

EXHIBIT P1. COPY OF THE ORDER NO.B4 7740/14.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:-

NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

rs.

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

=====

W.P.(C). No. 1434 of 2015

Dated this the 16th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner's team participated in the event 'Group Dance' in the District Level School Kalolsavam and could secure only the third place with A Grade. The appeal was rejected by the Appeal Committee as per Ext.P1 order in a mechanical manner, allegedly without considering the contentions raised with regard to the belated start and the video was not viewed and hence the writ petition.

2. The learned Government Pleader submits on instructions that, there is no basis for the contentions raised. The video has been viewed and there was no adverse report from the stage manager.

3. After going through the pleadings and proceedings and the submissions of the learned Government Pleader, this Court finds that the petitioner has not established any tenable ground to call for interference. Accordingly, interference is declined and the writ petition is dismissed.

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
JUDGE.**

kp/-