

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

THURSDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/25TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1410 of 2015 (A)

PETITIONER(S):

**SIVARAMAN K., AGED 56 YEARS,
S/O. KUNCHARU, HELPER, FL 19006 OUTLET,
KERALA STATE BEVERAGES CORPORATION LIMITED, PALAKKAD,
RESIDING AT PRIYA NIVAS, KARINGARAPULLY P.O.,
KADAMKODE, PALAKKAD-678 551.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.SHAJI P.CHALY
SRI.R.SANJITH**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, EXCISE DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. KERALA STATE BEVERAGES CORPORATION LIMITED,
P.B.NO.2263, SASTHAKRIPA OFFICE COMPLEX,
SASTHAMANGALAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 010,
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR.**

**R2 BY ADV. SRI.C.S.AJITH PRAKASH,SC
R1 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.R.RANJITH**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
15-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

msv/

WP(C).No. 1410 of 2015 (A)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXT. P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION TO THE RESPONDENTS AND CHIEF
SECRETARY DTD.5.1.2015 AND ITS POSTAL RECEIPTS.**

**EXT. P2 : TRUE COPY OF NEWSPAPER ARTICLES PUBLISHED IN MALAYALA
MANORAMA DAILY DTD.6.1.2015, PALAKKAD EDITION.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE

Msv/

K.VINOD CHANDRAN, J

W.P.(C).No. 1410 of 2015

Dated 15th January, 2015

JUDGMENT

Petitioner is a worker under the Kerala State Beverages Corporation, the 2nd respondent herein, who complained of the working hours in the various foreign liquor outlets of the 2nd respondent. In fact, the learned Standing counsel for the Beverages Corporation produced before this Court a judgment in a public interest litigation, which was initiated *suo motu*, on the basis of a complaint of certain employees. The issue was considered in W.P.(C).25907 of 2012 and found that there is no hardship caused on the basis of the facts placed before the Division Bench. In such circumstance, the issue is covered by the

decision of the Division Bench judgment in W.P.(C).25907 of 2012 dated 16.09.2014. The writ petition is hence, closed.

However, the closure of the writ petition will not stand in the way of the representation being considered by the respondent Corporation and the Government.

Sd/-

K.VINOD CHANDRAN
Judge

Mrcs

//True Copy//