

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

WEDNESDAY, THE 14TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/24TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1322 of 2015 (M)

PETITIONER:

**KRISHNA PRABHA M,
D/O.PRIYAM VADA, AGED 17 YEARS(MINOR),
REPRESENTED BY MOTHER AND GUARDIAN
PRIYAM VADA, 'LAKSHMI NIVAS',
MEKKADAMPU POST, RACKAD,
MUVATTUPUZHA, ERNAKULAM-682 316.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.P.B.KRISHNAN
SRI.P.M.NEELAKANDAN
SRI.P.B.SUBRAMANYAN
SRI.SABU GEORGE**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. GENERAL CONVENER,
55TH STATE SCHOOL YOUTH FESTIVAL 2015,
KOZHIKODE.**
- 2. GENERAL CONVENOR,
27TH ERNAKULAM REVENUE DISTRICT SCHOOL
YOUTH FESTIVAL 2014-2015 ,
GOVERNMENT BOYS HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL,
TRIPUNITHURA, ERNAKULAM-682 301.**
- 3. DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
TRIPUNITHURA, ERNAKULAM-682 301.**
- 4. DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.K.C.VINCENT

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 14-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

- P1- TRUE COPY OF THE RESULT PUBLISHED IN THE WEBSITE.
- P2- TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT NO.209 DATED 13.12.2014.
- P3- TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT NO.210 DATED 13.12.2014.
- P4- TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT NO.95 DATED 3.12.2014.
- P5- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 19.12.2014 IN MOHINIYATTOM.
- P6- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 19.12.2014 IN BHARATHANATYAM.
- P7- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 19.12.2014 IN KUCHUPPUDI.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: - NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

mbr/

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

=====

W.P.(C). No. 1322 of 2015

Dated this the 14th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner participated in the events 'Bharathanatyam, Mohiniyattom, Kuchuppudi, Group Song and Patriotic Song' in the District Level School Kalolsavam and secured 1st place with A grade in Patriotic song. In the remaining four events the petitioner was granted A Grade with 2nd place. The appeal was rejected by the Appeal Committee as per Exts.P5, P6 and P7 orders allegedly without considering the contention that the performance was affected by the defective light setting and dust on the stage and hence the writ petition.

2. The learned Government Pleader submits on instructions that, there is no pith of substance in the contentions raised. The video was viewed and there is a difference of 3 marks. Moreover, the petitioner participated through appeal and did not meet the requisite norms. The allegation, with regard to the defective light setting and dust on the stage is wrong and that it was applicable to all participants. Further, there was no such report from the stage manager.

3. After going through the pleadings and proceedings and the submissions of the learned Government Pleader, this Court finds that the petitioner has not established any tenable ground to call for interference. Accordingly, interference is declined and the writ petition is dismissed.

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
JUDGE.**

kp/-