

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

THURSDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/25TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1215 of 2015 (B)

PETITIONER :

**HARSHITHA MOL (MINOR), D/O.LUKHMAN.M.A.,
REPRESENTED HEREIN BY HER GUARDIAN FATHER LUKHMAN.M.A.,
S/O.ALAVI,AGED 50 YEARS, RESIDING AT MANNEKARA HOUSE,
KALLEMPARAMBU,EDATHARA P.O., PALAKKAD.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.T.C.SURESH MENON
SRI.P.S.APPU**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,
PALAKKAD (CHAIRMAN, APPEAL COMMITTEE,
REVENUE DISTRICT SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2014-2015),
PIN - 678 001.**
- 2. THE CONVENER, PROGRAMME COMMITTEE,
REVENUE DISTRICT SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2014-2015,
PALAKKAD - 678 541**
- 3. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**

R1 TO R3 BY SR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.JOSEPH GEORGE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 15-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

sts

WP(C).No. 1215 of 2015 (B)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXT P1-	TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER DATED 25.1.2014
EXT P2-	TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED TO ANSEENA MOL.A DATED 25.1.2014
EXT P3-	TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED TO THANSEERA P.M. DATED 25.1.2014
EXT P4-	TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED TO NASEELA C.N. DATED 25.1.2014
EXT P5-	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER PASSED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 26.12.2014

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: **NIL**

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO.JUDGE

sts

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

W.P(C). No. 1215 of 2015

Dated this the 15th day of January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The grievance of the petitioner is mainly with regard to rejection of the appeal preferred against the decision of the 'judging committee' in respect of 'Oppana' preformed by the petitioner's team in the 'Revenue District School Kalolsavam 2014-15'. The petitioner complains that the stage was very small and too unstable, and that there was no proper sound system. The petitioner's team was awarded only the 3rd place with 'A' Grade. The rejection of petitioner's appeal is under challenge in this writ petition.

2. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well, who submits on instruction and also with reference to the materials on record, that there is absolutely no merit of bonafides in the writ petition. No defect has been brought to the notice of the 'Stage Manager' and no such event has been reported by the 'Stage Manager' as well. The learned Government Pleader also points out that, there is substantial difference between the marks obtained by the petitioner and the first prize winner.

3. After hearing both the sides, this Court finds that, it is not a fit case to call for interference invoking the discretionary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

The writ petition stands dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, JUDGE.

Pn