

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

THURSDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/25TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1142 of 2015 (P)

PETITIONER(S):

**UNNIKRISHNAN,
S/O.LAKSHMIKUTTY AMMA, NEDUNGATHU HOUSE,
MUDAPPALLUR P.O., PALAKKAD.**

BY ADV. SRI.I.DINESH MENON.

RESPONDENT(S):

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
THRISSUR - 680 002.**

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.R. RANJITH.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 15-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

WP(C).No. 1142 of 2015 (P)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

EXHIBIT P1. TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER IN MVARP NO.282/2012
DATED 10.09.2012.

EXHIBIT P2. TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DATED 20.10.2012.

EXHIBIT P3. TRUE COPY OF THE REQUEST DATED 07.11.2014.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:-

NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

rs.

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J

W.P(C) No. 1142 of 2015

Dated this the 15th day of January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the renewal of permit not having been granted. The petitioner had, in fact, replaced the vehicle, which was originally endorsed in the permit with another vehicle, the replacement of which was allowed by the RTA and the Secretary was delegated the power to grant renewal of the permit, subject to the Rules. The Secretary, however, has not issued renewal and hence the petitioner is before this Court.

2. The learned Government Pleader submits that the renewal is not made only since there are tax dues with respect to the vehicle which is now replaced in the permit. The said fact has also intimated to the petitioner, is the contention. In such circumstance, if the petitioner pays off the tax dues and produce sufficient evidence

before the Secretary, the matter shall be considered, at any rate, within a period of one month from the date of production of evidence, however, subject to the Rules.

Sd/-
(K. VINOD CHANDRAN, JUDGE)

jma //true copy//

P.A to Judge