

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

TUESDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/23RD POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1101 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER :

**GOPIKA MURALI, AGED 45 YEARS, XTH STANDARD,
ST.JOHN BAPTIST ENGLISH MEDIUM HIGHER SECODARY SCHOOL,
KADATHUMKADAVU, IRITTY, KANNUR DISTRICT
REPRESENTED BY HER FATHER P.K.MURALEEDHARAN
KANNIYATH HOUSE, IRITTY, KANNUR DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.C.P.PEETHAMBARAN
SMT.MINI.V.A.**

RESPONDENTS :

- 1. THE PROGRAMME COMMITTEE CONVENOR,
KERALA STATE SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2014-15
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 3. THE PROGRAMME COMMITTEE CONVENOR,
KERALA STATE SCHOOL KALOTSAVAM 2014-15
KANUR REVENUE DISTRICT, KANNUR.**
- 4. THE JURY CHAIRMAN/DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION
KANNUR.**

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. JOSEPH GEORGE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 13-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

bp

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

P1: TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED TO SREEPRIYA PREMAN, ONE OF THE PARTICIPANT OF PETITIONER'S SCHOOL IN SANGHANRITHAM, IN 54TH KERALA SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2013-14.

P2: TRUE COPY OF THE PARTICIPANT'S CARD ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER FOR THE KERALA SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2014-15.

P3: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DT. 08.7.15 OF THE 4TH RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

bp

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

.....
W.P.(C)No.1101 OF 2015
.....

Dated this the 13th January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner's team participated in the event '**Sangha Nritham**' (for girls) in the Kannur Revenue District School Kalolsavam 2014-15 . The case of the petitioner is that though they could perform well in the event, while performing, one member of their team slipped down on the stage, as a result of which they were sidelined and placed in the third position. Though an appeal was filed, the same came to be rejected by a cryptic order, without properly analysing the contention raised in the appeal.

2. The learned Government Pleader, on instruction, submits that there is absolutely no merit or bonafides in the plea raised from the part of the petitioner . It is added that Ext.P3 order of the appeal Committee is a speaking order giving all the particulars. It is also stated that there is a substantial difference of '**17**' marks between the petitioner's team and the first prize winner's team and that all the judges were duly qualified and experienced to evaluate the event. No technical defect or mistake

W.P.(C)No.1101 OF 2015

2

was reported at any point of time. This Court does not find any reason to call for interference. The writ petition is dismissed.

**P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON
JUDGE**

/k