

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

TUESDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/23RD POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 1045 of 2015 (E)

PETITIONER:

**RISHWIN R. PRABHU,
S/O. RADESH S. PRABHU, AGED 16 YEARS, MINOR,
REPRESENTED BY FATHER, RADESH S. PRABHU,
S/O. LATE SURENDER PRABHU, 48 YEARS,
GIRIJA NIVAS, NEAR ST. ANTONYS CHURCH,
NO.3 BAZAR, KANNUR-670 001.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.G.SREEKUMAR (CHELUR)
SRI.K.ASHIS**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA,
REP. BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.,
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, GOVT. SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. THE JOINT GENERAL COORDINATOR,
DIRECTOR OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION,
55TH KERALA SCHOOL KALOISAVAM, KOZHIKODE-673 001.**
- 3. THE GENERAL COORDINATOR,
THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
OFFICE OF THE D P I, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 4. DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION, KANNUR-676 001.**

R1 TO R4 BY SR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.JOSEPH GEORGE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 13-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

=====

APPENDIX

.....

- | | |
|--------------------|---|
| EXHIBIT P1- | A TRUE COPY OF THE RESULT OF THE TABALA COMPETITION OF KERALA SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2013. |
| EXHIBIT P2- | A TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF THE PETITIONER IN KERALA SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2013. |
| EXHIBIT P3- | A TRUE COPY OF THE RESULT OF THE TABALA COMPETITION OF THE KERALA SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2014. |
| EXHIBIT P4- | A TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF THE PETITIONER IN KERALA SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2014. |
| EXHIBIT P5- | ORDER PASSED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT. |
| EXHIBIT P6- | TRUE COPY OF THE SCHEDULE OF COMPETITION FOR KERALA SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2014-2015 KOZHIKODE. |

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: **NIL**

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO.JUDGE

sts

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

W.P.(C) No.1045 of 2015

Dated this the 13th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is a participant in the category of 'Tabala' held in Kannur Revenue District Kalolsavam. During performance, the mike had fallen down and thus his performance was not evaluated properly. He was awarded only the 3rd place with A Grade. The petitioner's further contention is that, the judges, who were empanelled to evaluate the competition were not fully qualified. The appeal filed by the petitioner was rejected and the video clipping was not viewed by the appeal committee. Hence the writ petition.

2. The learned Government Pleader submits on instructions that, no such incident was reported by the stage manager and that all the judges were well qualified and experienced. It is submitted that the Appeal committee has viewed the video clipping of the event and that the judges of the judging committee had evaluated the event in a uniform manner.

3. After going through the pleadings and proceedings and

the submissions made by the learned Government Pleader, this Court finds that the petitioner has not established any tenable ground to call for interference.

Accordingly, interference is declined and the writ petition is dismissed.

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
JUDGE**

sp