

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON**

**TUESDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/23RD POUSHA, 1936**

**WP(C).No. 1036 of 2015 (D)**  
-----

**PETITIONER:**  
-----

**SREEDEVI U., AGED 17 YEARS,  
REPRESENTED BY HER MOTHER  
G.VASANTHALAKSHMI, AGED 40 YEARS,  
W/O.A.UNNIKRISHNAN NAIR, ATTUPURATH HOUSE,  
MANGATTUKARA, KANDASHANKADAVU,  
THRISSUR DISTRICT-680 613.**

**BY SRI.P.VIJAYA BHANU (SENIOR ADVOCATE)  
ADV. SRI.UNNI SEBASTIAN KAPPEN**

**RESPONDENT(S):**  
-----

- 1. DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,  
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**
- 2. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,  
CIVIL STATION, AYYANTHOLE, THRISSUR DISTRICT - 680 301.**
- 3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,  
PALACE ROAD, THRISSUR DISTRICT - 680 001.**
- 4. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER  
KUNNAMKULAM, THRISSUR DISTRICT - 680 101.**

**BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.K.C.VINCENT**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 13-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:**

**mbr/**

WP(C).No. 1036 of 2015 (D)

-----

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:**

-----

- EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE SCHEDULE EVIDENCING THE VENUE AND TIME OF THE PROGRAMMES.
- EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.E5/1095 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 8/12/2014.
- EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF MERIT ISSUED AT THE KERALA SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM-2013 THRISSUR WEST SUB DISTRICT.
- EXT.P4: A TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF MERIT ISSUED AT THE THRISSUR REVENUE DISTRICT SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM-2013-14 HELD IN GURUVAYOOR.
- EXT.P5: TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF ISSUED AT THE 54TH SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM 2013-14 HELD IN PALAKKAD.

**RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:**

-----

- NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.S. TO JUDGE

mbr/

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON J.**

~~~~~

W.P.(C) No. 1036 of 2015

~~~~~

Dated, this the 13<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2015

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner was a participant in 'Kuchippudi' competition held at the Thrissur District Level School Kalotsavam. According to the petitioner, though she performed well, after evaluation she was awarded only the 2<sup>nd</sup> prize, which according to the petitioner is not correct and hence an appeal was preferred, referring to belated starting of programme. It is also contended that the Judges were biased. The appeal came to be dismissed, as borne by Ext. P2, which in turn is under challenge in this writ petition.

2. The learned Government Pleader submits on instructions that, the contention raised by the petitioner with reference to the belated starting of the programme, if any, is applicable to all the participants. It is also pointed out that, the first prize winner obtained 282 marks, while the petitioner could obtain only 265 marks and as such, there is a substantial margin of 17 marks. It is stated that allegation of bias is totally wrong and unfounded and that

it was never substantiated. Despite the vague plea, no Judge has been impleaded in the personal capacity to meet the said plea of malafides.

3. After hearing both the sides, this Court finds that there is no tenable ground to call for interference. Interference is declined and the writ petition is dismissed.

Sd/-

**P. R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,  
(JUDGE)**

kmd