

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE AG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

MONDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/22ND POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 920 of 2015 (L)

PETITIONER :

T.P SHAHUL HAMEED AGED 64 YEARS
S/O.NEBEESUMMA, OWNER OF MEHROOF BUILDINGS
NEAR BUS STAND, MAIN ROAD, PAYYANNUR
KANNUR DISTRICT, AND RESIDING AT KOWAPRAM
KUNJIMANGALAM, PIN - 670 307.

BY ADVS.SRI.M.HARISHARMA
SRI.R.PREMCHAND

RESPONDENTS :

1. THE STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
HOME DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
CIVIL STATION, KANNUR, PIN - 670 002.
3. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PAYYANNUR POLICE CIRCLE, PAYYANNUR, KANNUR
PIN - 670 307.
4. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PAYYANNUR POLICE STATION, KANNUR, PIN - 670 307.
5. BEEVATHUMMA P
W/O.HASHIM, POTTIHEERAKATH HOUSE
K.U.MADHAVAPODUVALS QUARTERS, CHERUTHAZHAM AMSOM
EZHILODE POST, PIN - 670 309.
6. HASHIM
S/O.HAMEED, MUTTAM, VENGARA POST
KANNUR DISTRICT, PIN - 670 305.

R1 TO R4 BY STATE ATTORNEY,SRI.P. VIJAYARAGHAVAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
12-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 920 of 2015 (L)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE LAWYER NOTICE DATED 08/01/2014 SENT TO THE
5TH AND 6TH RESPONDENTS.

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT SUBMITTED TO THE 3RD RESPONDENT
DATED 23/12/2014 ALONG WITH TRUE ENGLISH TRANSLATION.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS :

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

ASHOK BHUSHAN, Ag.C.J. & A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

W.P.(C) No. 920 OF 2015

Dated this the 12th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ashok Bhushan, Ag.C.J.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State Attorney appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 4. No notice is being issued to the 5th and 6th respondents in view of the order which is being passed.

2. By this writ petition the petitioner has prayed for a mandamus directing respondents 2 to 4 to grant adequate protection to the life of the petitioner from respondents 5 and 6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a complaint was submitted by the petitioner to the police authorities regarding threats and abuses made by respondents. Learned counsel for 5th and 6th respondents deny the said incident.

3. Learned State Attorney after obtaining instruction submitted that after receipt of the complaint of petitioner, respondent Nos.5 and 6 were called to the police station and warned them. He further submits that as and when any offence is committed or there is attempt to commit any

offence, it is always open for the petitioner to file a complaint before the Police which shall be looked into in accordance with law.

In view of the above submission of learned State Attorney, we close this Writ Petition.

**Ashok Bhushan,
Acting Chief Justice.**

**A.M. Shaffique,
Judge.**