

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH**

**TUESDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/23RD POUSHA, 1936**

**WP(C).No. 782 of 2015 (W)**  
-----

**PETITIONER:**  
-----

**BABU C.GEORGE, AGED 69 YEARS  
MANAGING PARTNER, BCG GOLDEN ORCHID, 5TH FLOOR  
BCG ESTTES, N.H.BYE PASS, PALARIVATTOM.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.P.P.THAJUDEEN  
SMT.P.B.WAHIDA**

**RESPONDENTS:**  
-----

- 1. KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LIMITED  
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, VYDYUTHI BHAVAN, PATTOM  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT-695 004.**
- 2. ASSISTANT ENGINEER  
ELECTRICAL SECTION  
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LIMITED, KALOOR  
ERNAKULAM-682 017.**
- 3. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD.  
ELECTRICAL SUB DIVISION, PALARIVATTOM  
ERNAKULAM-682 025.**
- 4. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD.  
ELECTRICAL DIVISION, ERNAKULAM-682 035.**
- 5. DEPUTY CHIEF ENGINEER  
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LIMITED  
ELECTRICAL CIRCLE, ERNAKULAM-682 035.**

**BY SRI. JAICE JACOB, SC, KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 13-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:**

**DCS**

**WP(C).No. 782 of 2015 (W)**  
-----

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS**  
-----

- P1 : COPY OF THE APPLICATION DTD.2.7.2014 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**
- P2 : COPY OF THE RECEIPT DTD.10.7.2014 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.**
- P3 : COPY OF THE ESTIMATE REPORT PREPARED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**
- P4 : COPY OF THE PROVISIONAL INVOICE DTD.31.7.2014.**
- P4(a) : COPY OF THE PROVISIONAL BILL DTD.31.7.2014.**
- P5 : COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DTD.9.10.2014 OF THIS HON'BLE COURT.**

**RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:- NIL**  
-----

**/TRUE COPY/**

**P.A. TO JUDGE**

**DCS**

**V. CHITAMBARESH, J**

-----  
**W.P.(C). NO. 782 OF 2015**  
-----

Dated this the 13<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2015

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner is subsequently in receipt of the final bill in continuation of Ext. P4 series provisional bills. The petitioner intends to challenge the final bill appropriately.

The writ petition is hence dismissed as withdrawn in the circumstances. This will be without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to impugn the provisional and final bills as indicated above.

**V. CHITAMBARESH  
JUDGE**

DCS