

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

FRIDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/19TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 709 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER(S):

ALWIN ANTONY P.,
PLUS TWO TEACHER, TEAM LEADER,
OUR LADY OF MERCY HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL,
AROOR P.O., ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT,
REPRESENTING 'NAADANPATTU TEAM MEMBERS'
PARTICIPATED IN THURAVOOR UPAJILLA KALOLSAVAM 2015-16,
(RESIDING AT PUNNACKAL HOUSE,
PALLITHODU P.O., CHERTHALA, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT)

BY ADV. SRI.K.JAYAKUMAR(CHERTHALA).

RESPONDENT(S):

1. DIRECTOR OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION,
HOUSING BOARD BUILDING, SANTHI NAGAR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.
2. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY SECERETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM -695 001.
3. CHAIRMAN,
APPEAL COMMITTEE,
THURAVOOR UPAJILLA KALOLSAVAM -2015-16,
ALAPPUZHA - 688 101.
4. DEPUTY DIRECTOR,
HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION, ALAPPUZHA - 688 101.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. JOSEPH GEORGE.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 09-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

rvs.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :

EXHIBIT-P1- TRUE COPY OF THE DISMISSAL ORDER NO. A5/9270/14
(APPENDIX IV) DATED 27/12/2014 OF KERALA SCHOOL
KALOLSAVAM APPEAL COMMITTEE ISSUED BY CHAIRMAN,
APPEAL COMMITTEE.

EXHIBIT-P2- TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY THE KERALA
STATE YOUTH WELFARE BOARD.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :

NIL.

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE

RVS.

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

.....
W.P.(C)No.709 OF 2015
.....

Dated this the 9th January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner's teams had participated in the event -'Nadan Pattu' in the recently held Sub District Level Competition. It is stated that because of defective mike system, they could not perform to the expected level and they could secure only the 4th position. The case of the petitioner is that, though an appeal was filed, the same was not properly entertained, leading to Ext.P1 order of the appeal Committee, whereby the appeal was rejected , which in turn is sought to be intercepted.

2. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well, who submits on instruction that there is absolutely no merit in the contention raised. No defect was there in the sound system and no such instance was reported by the Stage Manager. It is also pointed out that the judges have awarded marks in a Uniform pattern and that there is substantial difference of '**27**' marks between the marks obtained by the petitioner's team and the first prize winner's team. This Court does not find any tenable ground to call for interference. The writ petition is dismissed.

**P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON
JUDGE**

/k

