

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

THURSDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/18TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 689 of 2015 (I)

PETITIONER:

SURAJ KUMAR, AGED 32 YEARS
S/O.KUMARAN NAIR,
KOTTARATHIL HOUSE,
BHARANANGANAM P.O., KOTTAYAM.
FRIENDS COMMUNICATIONS,
KALLIKKAL BUILDING
ARUVICHIRA P.O., ERATTUPETTA.

BY ADVS.SRI.RENJITH THAMPAN (SR.)
SMT.P.R.REENA

RESPONDENTS:

1. KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LIMITED
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
VAIDYUTHI BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM PIN - 695 001.
2. DIVISIONAL ENGINEER, KSEB,
ELECTRICAL DIVISION OFFICE,
PALA, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT PIN - 686 575
3. ASSISTANT ENGINEER, ELECTRICAL SECTION OFFICE
KSEB, ERATUPETTA, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT PIN 686 121.
4. ASSISTANT ENGINEER, ELECTRICAL SECTION OFFICE
KSEB, BHARANANGANAM, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT PIN - 686 578.

R BY SRI.JAICE JACOB,SC,KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
08-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX IN W.P. (C) NO.689 OF 2015

- EXT.P1 TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER, ELECTRICAL SECTIO, ERATTUPETTA DATED 13.11.2014.
- EXT.P2 TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER, ELECTRICAL SECTION, BHARANANGANAM DATED 13.11.2014.
- EXT.P3 TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY ISSUED BY THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT DATED 27.10.2014.
- EXT.P3(a) TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY ISSUED BY THE SENOR SUPERINTENDENT DATED 1.11.2014.
- EXT.P4 TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 20.12.2014.
- EXT.P5 TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN W.P. (C) NO.10011/2004 DATED 4.4.2014.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE.

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

W.P (C) No.689 of 2015

Dated this the 8th day of January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner asserts that there is illegal drawing of cables through electric posts. The details of the same have been stated in Ext.P4 representation submitted. Ext.P4 representation is pending consideration with the 2nd respondent. The petitioner has in addition submitted Exts.P1 and P2 applications seeking permission to draw TV cables.

2. I direct the second respondent to consider Ext.P4 representation with notice to the affected parties. Needful shall be done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Orders on Exts.P1 and P2 applications shall be passed dependent on the orders passed on Ext.P4 representation within a period of two months.

The writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.

nj.