

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

TUESDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/30TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 483 of 2015 (I)

PETITIONER:

**KERALA VYAPARI VYAVASAYI EKOPANA SAMITHI,
PALLARIMANGALAM UNIT, ADIVAD,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT-686 675,
REPRESENTED BYU ITS SECRETARY T.M. KHADER,
AGED 52 YEARS, S/O.MOITHEEN,
RESIDING AT KANIKUNNEL (CHEMMAYATH),
PALLARIMANGALAM. P.O,ADIVAD, ERNAKULAM-686 671.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.RAAJESH S.SUBRAHMANIAN,
SRI.V.R.RAJESH.**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KALLORKKAD POLICE STATION,
KALLORKKAD. P.O, VAZHAKULAM,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT-686 670.**
- 2. PALLARIMANGALAM GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
PALLARIMANGALAM. P.O, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT-686 671.**
- 3. TRAFFIC REGULATORY COMMITTEE,
PALLARIMANGALAM GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT-686 671.**

R1 BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT.SANJEETHA. K.A.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 20-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

WP(C).No. 483 of 2015 (I)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

- | | |
|--------|---|
| EXT.P1 | PHOTOGRAPHS EVIDENCING OBSTRUCTION CAUSED TO THE SHOP IN ADIVAD JUNCTION. |
| EXT.P2 | TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 09/12/2014 IN WP(C).NO. 31980 OF 2014. |
| EXT.P3 | TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 23/01/2009 IN WP(C).NO. 2475 OF 2009. |
| EXT.P4 | TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINANT DATED 29-12-2014 MADE TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT. |
| EXT.P5 | TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 29-12-2014 MADE TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT. |

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:-

NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

rs.

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

W.P.(c) No. 483 of 2015

Dated this the 20th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for the respondent, apart from perusing the record. Since the issue lies in a narrow compass, this Court proposes to dispose of the writ petition at the admission stage itself.

2. Briefly stated, the petitioner, an association of businessmen, Adivad Junction of Ernakulam District, has filed the present writ petition complaining of traffic congestion and illegal parking in its area and also the inaction on the part of the respondents in that regard. Exhibit P1 contains the photographs which reflect the traffic condition, quintessential of any commercial area, crying for urgent remedial measures.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has brought to my notice Exhibits P2 and P3 judgments rendered by this Court very recently at the behest of certain individuals on

the same issue. Now the grievance of the petitioner is that though the petitioner has submitted Exhibits P4 and P5 representations before the respondent authorities, so far they have not initiated any action on those complaints.

4. In the light of the directions given in Exhibits P2 and P3 judgments by this Court, any further direction at this stage is only a reiteration of what has already been directed in those judgments.

5. At any rate, having regard to the respective submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for the respondent, this Court, without adverting to the merits of the matter, disposes of the present writ petition with a direction to the respondent authorities to consider Exhibits P4 and P5 representations of the petitioner in accordance with law, and pass appropriate orders thereon, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

With the above observation, this writ petition is disposed of.

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU
JUDGE