

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/19TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 392 of 2015 (Y)

PETITIONER :

**T.L. LONA,
THEKKEKARA HOUSE, CHITILAPPALLY P.O.
THRISSUR DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.K.V.GOPINATHAN NAIR

RESPONDENT :

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
MALAPPURAM, PIN : 676 505.**

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. R. RANJITH

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 09-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

WP(C).No. 392 of 2015 (Y)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :

**EXT.P-1: TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
 BEFORE THE RESPONDENT DATED 2.12.2014.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J

W.P(C) No. 392 of 2015

Dated this the 9th day of January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner has filed an application for revision of timings. The petitioner's timings were issued on 2.5.2013. The contention of the petitioner is that after the issuance of timings, many other services have been sanctioned between Thrissur and Kozhikode and others have abandoned that route and hence there may be revision of timings. It is to be noticed that when revision of timings is attempted, a valid timing conference has to be convened and objections heard before the same is settled. The operators cannot frequently seek revision of timings on the ground that other services have been allowed in the route especially since the timings in the new services would have been settled after hearing the objections of the rival operators. In such circumstance, this Court does not find any reason to issue any direction in the above writ petition.

Writ petition hence, dismissed.

Sd/-
(K. VINOD CHANDRAN, JUDGE)

jma

//true copy//

P.A to Judge