

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE AG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

WEDNESDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/17TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 356 of 2015 (T)

PETITIONER(S):

1. VARKEY JOSEPH AGED 64 YEARS
S/O.JOSEPH, THAMARAKKATTU HOUSE, PADAMUGHAM P.O.
VATHIKUDY VILLAGE, IDUKKI DISTRICT.
2. SHILJAN GEORGE AGED 33 YEARS
S/O.VARKEY JOSEPH, THAMARAKKATTU HOUSE
PADAMUGHAM P.O., VATHIKUDY VILLAGE, IDUKKI DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.GEORGE SEBASTIAN

RESPONDENT(S):

1. THE DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF, IDUKKI
2. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
IDUKKI.
3. C.V.VARGHESE
CHALLAKUZHIYIL HOUSE, THANKAMANI P.O.
THANKAMANI VILLAGE, IDUKKI DISTRICT.
4. ROMEO SEBASTIAN
KOLLAKOMBIL HOUSE, KALVARIMOUNT P.O, IDUKKI TALUK
IDUKKI DISTRICT.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. SYAMKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 07-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 356 of 2015 (T)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXT.P1: A TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT DATED
03/12/2014 ISSUED FROM THE DISTRICT POLICE
OFFICE, IDUKKI.

EXT.P2: A TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED
04/12/2014.

ASHOK BHUSHAN , Ag. CJ,
&
A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J.

.....
W.P.C. No. 356 of 2015 – T
.....

Dated this the 7th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ashok Bhushan, Ag.CJ

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Pleader. No notice is being issued to respondents 3 and 4 in view of the order which is being passed.

2. This petition has been filed alleging police harassment. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the police authorities are putting pressure on the petitioner to withdraw the complaint submitted by him against the 3rd respondent.

3. Learned Government Pleader submits that a complaint has been received against the petitioner under the Information

Technology Act and a crime has also been registered. He further submits that allegation of the petitioner that police is putting pressure on the petitioner for withdrawing the complaint against the 3rd respondent, is incorrect. He submits that the police is in no manner interfering with the civil or matrimonial disputes between the parties.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall co-operate with the investigation in the crime registered against him.

5. In view of the statement made by the learned Government Pleader as above, we see no reason to find any police harassment in the present case.

The Writ Petition is closed with the above observation.

Sd/-ASHOK BHUSHAN ,
Ag. CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-A.M.SHAFFIQUE,
JUDGE

ani/

/truecopy/

P.S.toJudge