

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

THURSDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/18TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 139 of 2015 (N)

PETITIONER :

**NAMATHA RAJEEV, AGED 13 YEARS, D/O.RAJEEV C.R.,
MANGALATH HOUSE, KALLELIBHAGOM (P.O.),
KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM,
REPRESENTED BY HER FATHER RAJEEV C.R.,
S/O.LATE RAGHUTHAMAN, MANGALATH HOUSE,
KALLELIBHAGOM (P.O.), KARUNAGAPPALLY,
KOLLAM - 690 519.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.NIDHI BALACHANDRAN
SRI.SABU GEORGE**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY IT'S SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL EDUCATION, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**
- 3. THE CHAIRMAN OF THE APPEAL COMMITTEE,
THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
KOLLAM - 692 001.**
- 4. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM - 692 021.**
- 5. THE CONVENER,
THE DISTRICT KALOLSAWAM, KOLLAM - 692 021.**

R1 TO R5 BY SR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.JOSEPH GEORGE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 08-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 139 of 2015 (N)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF THE
KARUNAGAPPALLY SUB DISTRICT SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.**
- EXHIBIT P2: TRUE COPY OF THE CALL SHEET OF THE NADODINIRTHUM
COMPETITION.**
- EXHIBIT P3: TRUE COPY OF THE TABULATION SHEET OF THE MARKS OF THE
NADODINIRTHUM COMPETITION.**
- EXHIBIT P4: TRUE COPY OF THE APPELLATE ORDER PASSED BY THE 3RD
RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO.JUDGE

sts

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

=====

W.P.(C). No. 139 of 2015

Dated this the 8th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner participated in the event 'Nadodi Nrithum'(Folk Dance) in the Sub District level competition in the Boys Higher Secondary School Krunagappally. It is stated that the competition scheduled at 2.30 pm on 2.12.2014 was stated only at 12.30 am on 3.12.2014. The inordinate delay in the commencement of the competition affected the performance of the petitioner and hence the writ petition.

2. The learned Government Pleader submits on instructions that, there is no pith of substance in the contentions raised. The petitioner was awarded 79, 77 & 74 marks by the three judges and the 1st prize winner got 82, 76 and 77 marks. The petitioner is in the 5th place and the marks were awarded uniformly.

3. After going through the pleadings and proceedings and the contents of Ext.P4 order of appeal committee, this Court finds that the petitioner has not established any tenable ground to call for interference. Accordingly, interference is declined and the writ petition is dismissed.

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
JUDGE.**