

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/15TH POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 69 of 2015 (G)

PETITIONER(S):

**K.CHANDRA DAS @ BABY,
S/O.K.KARUPPAN, KAROTH HOUSE,
P.O.KARAKUNNU, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.SAJU J.VALLYARA

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
MALAPPURAM, PIN-676505.**
- 2. SMT.K.V.SHARAHIN,
D/O.SAINUDHEEN, VILAKKATHIL HOUSE,
ANAKKAYAM, MALAPPURAM -676519.**

R1 BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BIJU MEENATTOOR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 05-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

PJ

WP(C).No. 69 of 2015 (G)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS

- P1: COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
MALAPPURAM DATED 28/5/2014 VIDE ITEM NO.17.**
- P2: COPY OF THE OBJECTION DATED 17/12/14 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS

NIL.

/ TRUE COPY /

P.S. TO JUDGE

PJ

K. Vinod Chandran, J.

W.P(C) No.69 of 2015-G

Dated this the 05th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is a rival operator of the 2nd respondent. The 2nd respondent has been granted a permit by Exhibit P1, the timings of which are pending settlement. The petitioner, a rival operator, has filed Exhibit P2 objection and the only prayer made in the writ petition is for the consideration of the said objections before settling the timings of the 2nd respondent.

2. If the objection, referred to as Exhibit P2, is filed before the 1st respondent, then necessarily the settlement of the timings of the service of the 2nd respondent shall be only after hearing the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-
K. Vinod Chandran,
Judge

vkul

(true copy)