

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.K.ABDUL REHIM

WEDNESDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF APRIL 2015/11TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 3687 of 2010 (I)

PETITIONER(S):

**M.THAYYIB, S/O.MOHAMMED KUNJU,
AGED 22 YEARS, MANNASSERIL HOUSE, S.V.MARKET POST,
KARUNAGAPPALLY - 690 573.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED
BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT ANNEX,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
JAGATHY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-14.**
- 3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.**
- 4. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM DISTRICT.**
- 5. THE MANAGER, S.N.V.U.P.SCHOOL,
MARUTHOORKULANGARA SOUTH, KARUNAGAPPALLY,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.**

**R1 TO R4 BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.SOJAN JAMES
R5 BY ADVS. SRI.R.SUNIL KUMAR
SMT.A.SALINI LAL**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
01-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

msv/

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE DEATH CERTIFICATE.

EXT.P1(a): TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF PETITIONER (TTC).

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION OF THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P4: TRUE COPY OF THE COVERING LETTER.

EXT.P5: TRUE COPY OF THE CONSENT LETTER OF SMT.NASEEMA.

EXT.P6: TRUE COPY OF THE -DO- BY SMT.JASEELA.

EXT.P7: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION OF THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P8: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.C/2595/09 OF THE ASST. EDUCATIONAL
OFFICER.

EXT.P9: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.B1/21618/2011 OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR.

EXT.P10: TRUE COPY OF THE ACKNOWLEDGMENT RECEIPT DATED 20.8.2005.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE

Msv/

C.K. ABDUL REHIM, J.

W.P.(C)No.3687 of 2010

Dated this the 1st day of April, 2015

JUDGMENT

This writ petition was filed voicing grievance against non acceptance of the claim made by the petitioner for appointment under Rule 51B of Chapter XIV-A KER. It is conceded by counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as by the counsel appearing for the 5th respondent that, during pendency of this writ petition the 5th respondent had appointed the petitioner by accepting his claim under Rule 51B. Therefore the issue agitated no more survives for consideration.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, what remains is only approval of the appointment by the Assistant Educational Officer, the 4th respondent herein. It is for the 4th respondent to consider approval of appointment of the petitioner without any further delay, if the 5th respondent had duly submitted the order of

appointment accompanied with all connected records.

The writ petition is hereby disposed of with the above observation and direction.

Sd/-**C.K. ABDUL REHIM**
JUDGE

MJL