

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.HARILAL**

WEDNESDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF APRIL 2015/11TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WA.No. 1388 of 2014

**-----
AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C).23859/2014 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 26-09-2014**

.....

APPELLANT(S)/3RD RESPONDENT(IMPLEADED AS PER ORDER DATED 23.09.2014

**-----
IN I.A.NO.12835/2014 IN W.P.(C)NO.23859/2014:
-----**

**HARILAL RAJAN,
FLAT NO.178, RAJAJI NAGAR,
G.P.O. TRIVANDRUM - 695 001.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.P.RAVINDRAN (SR.)
SRI.M.R.SABU
SRI.R.SUNIL KUMAR
SMT.APARNA RAJAN
SRI.SREEDHAR RAVINDRAN**

RESPONDENTS/PETITIONER & RESPONDENTS:-:

-
- 1. THE MANAGER,
COLLEGE OF TEACHER EDUCATION, ARAKANOOR, AYUR - 691 533.**
 - 2. THE UNIVERSITY OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 034.**
 - 3. THE VICE CHANCELLOR,
UNIVERSITY OF KERALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 034.**
 - 4. V.SIVANKUTTY, S/O.VASUDEVAN PILLAI,
RESIDING AT MULAKKAL, 9 SUBASH NAGAR, PERUMTHANI,
VALLAKKADAVU P.O.,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 009.
(IMPLEADED AS ADDL.4TH RESPONDENT AS PER ORDER DATED
30.09.2014 IN IA.12920/2014 IN W.P.(C)NO.23859/2014)**

**R2&3 BY ADV.SRI.O.V.RADHAKRISHNAN (SR.)
R2&3 BY ADV.SRI.BECHU KURIAN THOMAS, SC, UNIVERSITY OF KERALA
R4 BY ADV.SRI.E.K.NANDAKUMAR (SR.)
R4 BY ADV.SRI.NAGARAJ NARAYANAN
R4 BY ADV.SRI.SAIJO HASSAN
R4 BY ADV.SRI.PRATHAP PILLAI
R4 BY ADV.SRI.BENOJ C. AUGUSTIN
R4 BY ADV. SRI.THOMAS ABRAHAM
R4 BY ADV. SMT.MERCIAMMA MATHEW
R4 BY ADV. SRI.ASWIN.P.JOHN
R1 BY ADV. SRI.KURIAN GEORGE KANNANTHANAM (SR.)
R1 BY ADV. SRI.TONY GEORGE KANNANTHANAM**

**THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01-04-2015, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

okb

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN & K. HARILAL, JJ.

Writ Appeal No.1388 of 2014

Dated this the 1st day of April, 2015.

JUDGMENT

Thottathil B. Radhakrishnan, J.

This writ appeal is against an interlocutory order in W.P(C). No.23859 of 2014. Having regard to the change in circumstances with the passage of time, the learned counsel appearing for appellant submits that this writ appeal has become infructuous. It is so recorded and the writ appeal is dismissed as infructuous without expressing anything on merits.

Sd/-

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE

Sd/-

K. HARILAL, JUDGE

okb.