

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN

&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

TUESDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/24TH BHADRA, 1937

WA.No. 1949 of 2015 () IN WP(C).9693/2015

AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 9693/2015 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 26-03-2015

APPELLANT(S)/3RD PARTY:

ARDRA NATESAN
VILAYIL HOUSE, UDAYAGIRI, CHEMPAZHANTHY P.O
PANGAPPARA VILLAGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT

BY ADVS.SRI.BRIJESH MOHAN
SMT.RESMI G. NAIR

RESPONDENT(S)/PETITIONER & RESPONDENTS 1 TO 5:

1. P.R. PONNAMMA
W/O.LATE T.G NATESAN(FOREST RANGE OFFICER-RETIRED)SOUPARNIKA
NAMMOOD P.O, CHANGANACHERRY, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT 695 014

2. PRINCIPAL CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FOREST
FOREST HEADQUARTERS, VAZHUTHAKKADU
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 014

3. INDIAN AUDIT AND ACCOUNTS DEPARTMENT,
OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 031

4. SUB TREASURY OFFICER,
KARUKACHAL, KOTTAYAM 686 540

5. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001

6. THE SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF FOREST AND WILD LIFE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001

R2 TO R6 BY SPL.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.GIRIJA GOPAL
R1 BY ADV.SRI.T.O.XAVIER

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
15-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ASHOK BHUSHAN, C.J. & A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

W.A. No. 1949 OF 2015

Dated this the 15th day of September, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ashok Bhushan, C.J.

Heard learned counsel appearing for the appellant, learned counsel for the 1st respondent and learned Government Pleader appearing for other respondents.

2. This writ appeal has been filed against the judgment dated 26.03.2015 passed in W.P.(C) No.9693 of 2015 by which a direction was issued by the learned Single Judge to the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests to consider Ext.P8 representation filed by the writ petitioner claiming family pension and other benefits.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that consequent to the order of learned Single Judge, the State Government has already rejected the claim of the petitioner, against which O.A. No. 596 of 2015 has been filed by the petitioner before the State Administrative Tribunal.

In view of the aforesaid, we are of the view that no purpose shall be served in entertaining this writ appeal. It is

open for the appellant to get herself impleaded in the Original Application and take such pleadings which are permissible under law.

With the above observation, the Writ Appeal is dismissed.

**Ashok Bhushan,
Chief Justice.**

**A.M. Shaffique,
Judge.**