

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

THURSDAY, THE 3RD DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/12TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 2146 of 2010 (P)

PETITIONER(S):

**K.T.SARASU, D/O.KUNHIRAMAN NAIR,
AGD 50 YEARS, KAKKAD PARAMBIL VEEDU,
P.O.KUTHUPARAMBA, KUTHUPARAMBA AMSON,
NARAVOOR DESOM, THALASSERI TALUK .**

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.V.PAVITHRAN
SRI.JAYANANDAN MADAYI PUTHIYAVEETIL
SRI.T.PSAEED
SRI.ANIL.D.KAITHAKKAL**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
KUTHUPARAMBA SUB DISTRICT, P.O.KUTHUPARAMBA.**
- 2. DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.K.K.SAIDALAVI

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 03-09-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

PJ

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS

- P1: COPY OF THE ORDER OF APPROVAL OF SMT.C.KALLIYANI**
- P2: COPY OF REGISTERED GIFT DEED EXECUTED BY KALLIYANI IN FAVOUR OF THE PETITIONER**
- P3: COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 26/9/09 SUBMITTED BEFORE THE R1 FOR TRANSFER OF MANAGEMENT**
- P4: COPY OF THE NOTICE ISSUED BY MR.E.JOSHI THROUGH HER COUNSEL**
- P5: COPY OF THE REPLY NOTICE ISSUED BY THE PETITIONER THROUGH HER LAWYER.**

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS

NIL.

/ TRUE COPY /

P.S. TO JUDGE

PJ

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

=====

W.P(C) No.2146 of 2010

=====

Dated this the 3rd day of September, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking a direction to the respondents to take a decision on Ext.P3 application submitted by her within a time frame.

2. The petitioner's father was the Manager of Central Naravoor L. P. School. The petitioner alleges that after the death of her father, her mother became the Manager of the school and her mother gifted the right in favour of the petitioner. Thereafter, the petitioner submitted application for transfer of management. Meanwhile Ext.P4 notice was issued to the respondent stating claim over the management. The petitioner alleges that on receipt of Ext.P4 notice it appears that the respondents are not taking any decision on the application for transfer of management. It is with this background, the petitioner has approached this Court.

3. Arguments have been heard.

As the limited prayer in this writ petition is only for a direction to the respondent to take a decision on Ext.P3, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider and pass orders on Ext.P3 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment, if the same has not already been disposed of.

Sd/-

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

krj