

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.HARILAL

TUESDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/17TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WA.No. 765 of 2015 () IN WP(C).25760/2014

AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 25760/2014 of HIGH COURT
OF KERALA DATED 07-10-2014

APPELLANT(S) : /PETITIONER

SRI.SANJAY SUBRAO NIKAM,
M/S.ADITHYA JEWELLERY WORKS, SANJAY NIVAS,
IV/422, PALLITHAZHAM,
MULAMTHURUTHY.

BY ADVS.SRI.ANIL D. NAIR
SMT.C.S.SULEKHA BEEVI
SRI.R.SREEJITH NAIR

RESPONDENT(S) : /RESPONDENTS

1. INTELLIGENCE OFFICER,
COMMERCIAL TAXES, SQUAD NO.IV,
ERNAKULAM - 682 030.
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
COMMERCIAL TAXES, ERNAKULAM - 682 030.

BY SRI.LIJU V.STEPHEN, SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 07-04-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN &
K.HARILAL, JJ.

.....
W.A.No.765 of 2015
and
C.M.Appl.No.421 of 2015
.....

Dated this the 7th day of April, 2015.

J U D G M E N T

Thottathil B.Radhakrishnan, J.

- 1.This writ appeal comes up with an application seeking condonation of delay of 138 days in its institution.
- 2.We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant, quite in extenso.
- 3.Appellant is the writ petitioner. He challenged an interlocutory order imposing condition as to deposit pending statutory appeal. The learned single Judge has graciously permitted the appellant to furnish security by depositing title deeds in lieu of deposit. We see that nothing beyond that can ever be sought for, on the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case. This writ appeal fails.

In the result, the C.M.Application and the writ appeal are dismissed.

(THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE)

(K.HARILAL, JUDGE)

js