

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. ASHOK BHUSHAN

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. SHAFFIQUE

THURSDAY, THE 29TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/7TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 285 of 2013 (I)

PETITIONER(S):

THULASIDAS G.
S/O. LATE GOPINATHAN NAIR, DHWARAKA, KANICHUKULANGARA
CHERTHALA, ALAPPUZHA, NOW RESIDING AT SHARON NEST
2D, SARIKA LANE, VAZHAKALA
KAKKANAD, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADVS. SRI. SAIBY JOSE KIDANGOOR
SRI. M.P. JOSEPH TIJO

RESPONDENT(S):

1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HOME
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.
2. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
THRIPOONITHURA POLICE STATION
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT-682301.
3. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
UDAYAMPEROOR POLICE STATION
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT-682307.

Addl. 4. CHANDRAN
S/O. KUNJAN, PUNUAPPUZHA THAZHAM, SOUTH PARAVOOR.

Addl. 5. SUKUMARAN
S/O. KANNAN, KOIKARI, SOUTH PARAVOOR.

Addl. 6. RAJI MOHANAN
W/O. MOHANAN, KOIKARI, SOUTH PARAVOOR.

ADDL. R4 TO R6 ARE IMPEADED AS PER ORDER DATED 12/02/2013 IN IA 2154/2013.

R 1-3 BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. JOE KALLIATH
R4, R5, R6 BY ADV. SRI. K.P. MADHU

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 29-10-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) EXHIBITS :

EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE BASIC TAX REGISTER.

EXHIBIT P2: TRUE COPY OF THE LATEST TAX RECEIPT NO.3686583 DT.6-7-2012.

EXHIBIT P3: TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS NO.K.DIS 2991/12 CA OF THE DISTRICT
COLLECTOR DT.31-12-2012.

EXHIBIT P4: TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT PREFERRED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE R3
DATED1-1-13.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS

NIL

// TRUE COPY //

P.A. TO JUDGE

SOu.

ASHOK BHUSHAN, CJ & A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J

W.P(C). No. 285 of 2013

Dated this the 29th day of October, 2015

JUDGMENT

Shaffique, J

Petitioner has approached this Court seeking for police protection for his life and property. The petitioner submits that he had obtained permission for filling up certain item of property situated in Re-Sy. No.645/1 of Manakkunnam Village. Permission has been obtained as per Ext.P3. The writ petitioner has approached this court since there was obstruction from additional respondents 4 to 6.

2. A statement has been filed by third respondent inter alia stating that no untoward incident has been reported so far.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he was unable to carryout the aforesaid operation as he could not even go there.

4. Having regard to the fact that substantial time has elapsed after filing of the writ petition, we do not think that any further direction is required to be passed in this case. We only

observe that if there is any further cause of action, it shall be open for the petitioner to approach the police.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-
ASHOK BHUSHAN
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
A.M.SHAFFIQUE,
JUDGE

// True copy //

sou.31/10/15