

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE AG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFIQUE

MONDAY, THE 19TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/29TH POUSHA, 1936

WA.No. 116 of 2015 IN WP(C).1337/2015

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 1337/2015 DATED 14-01-2015

.....

APPELLANT/PETITIONER :

SREELAKSHMI S.R. AGED 15 YEARS
MINOR, REPRESENTED BY HER GUARDIAN MOTHER SMT. SREEJA C.
W/O.RAJESH CHENGOTT HOUSE, VALAMBOOR P.O.
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT-679325

BY ADV. SRI.ADARSH KUMAR

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS :

1. THE GENERAL CONVENOR
SCHOOL KALOLSAVAM, RAJAS HIGH SCHOOL, KOTTAKKAL
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT-679 325.
2. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,
MALAPPURAM MALAPPURAM DISTRICT-679 325.

BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SRI.P.I. DAVIS

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 19-01-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WA.No. 116 of 2015

APPENDIX

APPELLANT'S EXHIBITS :

ANNEXURE A : TRUE COPY OF ORDEER OF APPEAL COMMITTEE
DATED 09.01.2015.

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS:

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

ASHOK BHUSHAN, Ag.C.J. & A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

W.A. No. 116 OF 2015

Dated this the 19th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ashok Bhushan, Ag.C.J.

Heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned Government Pleader. This appeal has been filed against the judgment dated 14.01.2015 in W.P.(C) No.1337 of 2015.

2. The petitioner participated in the District Youth Festival in the item 'Kuchupudi' and got A grade. Petitioner filed the writ petition praying for a direction for quashing the decision of the first respondent that the petitioner is not eligible for the higher level competition and further to dispose of the appeal evidenced by Ext.P2.

3. Learned Single Judge dismissed the writ petition observing that the person who got first prize has 256 marks and petitioner got only 221 marks. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after the decision of the learned Single Judge the appeal has already been decided and it has been communicated. We are of the view that the authorities who

took a decision in the appeal are competent to take such decision and valid reasons have been given by learned Single Judge to deny the prayer of the petitioner.

We do not find any any merit in the appeal. Hence the appeal is dismissed.

**Ashok Bhushan,
Acting Chief Justice.**

**A.M. Shaffique,
Judge.**