

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALEXANDER THOMAS**

MONDAY, THE 19TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/29TH POUSHA, 1936

WA.No. 107 of 2015 () IN WP(C).32397/2014

**AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 32397/2014 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 04-12-2014**

APPELLANT(S)/1ST AND 2ND RESPONDENTS:

- 1. TIRUR URBAN CO-OPERATIVE BANK LIMITED
HEAD OFFICE, TIRUR, POST TIRUR
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, PIN - 676 104
REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL MANAGER.**
- 2. THE MANAGER
TIRUR URBAN CO-OPERATIVE BANK LIMITED
PARIYAPURAM BRANCH, POST PACHATTIRI, TIRUR
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, PIN - 676 105.**

BY ADV. SRI.DEVAPRASANTH.P.J.

RESPONDENT(S)/PETITIONER:

**HAFSATH HAJUMMA
D/O.HAJI ABDULLAKUTTY MOULAVI, AGED 58 YEARS,
PADINJARE VAKKAYIL HOUSE, POST KOOTTAYI, TIRUR TALUK
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, PIN - 676 105.**

R1 BY ADV. SRI.C.M.MOHAMMED IQUABAL

**THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 19-01-2015, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

WA NO.107/15

APPENDIX

APPELLANT'S EXHIBITS

ANNEXURE I: COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 4.12.14 IN WPC
NO.13791/14

//True Copy//

PA to Judge

Rp

ANTONY DOMINIC & ALEXANDER THOMAS, JJ.

=====

Writ Appeal No. 107 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 19th day of January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Antony Dominic, J.

In the judgment under appeal, instalment facility was granted to the respondent. Though we heard the learned counsel for the parties, in our view, this is purely a discretionary order and therefore, it cannot be said that the judgment suffers from any illegality. But, however, having regard to the fact that the grievance of the learned counsel for the appellants is regarding the number of instalments that was granted, we clarify that, it would be open to the appellants to seek review of the judgment, if they are so advised.

With the above liberty, this appeal is disposed of.

Sd/-
**ANTONY DOMINIC
JUDGE**

Sd/-
**ALEXANDER THOMAS
JUDGE**

Rp

//True Copy//

PA to Judge