

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF JULY 2015/30TH ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 534 of 2010 (N)

PETITIONER(S):

**DAMODARAN, AGED 84,
S/O. VELAYUDHAN, MOOLAYAM VEEDU,
PARUTHIPALLI, PARUTHIPALLI P.O.,
REPRESENTED BY POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER
PARABHAKARA PANICKER, S/O. DIVAKARAN, AGED 58,
T.C. NO.1/359 (1) VISAKH VILLA, ELA,MKAVU LANE,
MEDICAL COLLEGE P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.R.T.PRADEEP
SRI.V.VIJULAL**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. REGIONAL SURVEY JOINT DIRECTOR,
OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL SURVEY JOINT DIRECTOR,
COLLECTORATE, KUDAPPANAKUNNU, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 2. DISTRICT SURVEY SUPERINTENDENT,
COLLECTORATE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 3. TALUK SURVYOR, TALUK OFFICE,
NEDUMANGADU.**
- 4. C.RAVEENDRAN, PALAZHI,
AKKARAVILA, PARUTHIPALLI, PARUTHIPALLI P.O.,
NEDUMANGADU TALUK.**
- 5. R.L. SHAJI, PALAZHI AKKARA VILA,
PARUTHIPALLY DO. P.O., NEDUMANGADU TALUK.**
- 6. R.L.SHIBU, PALAZHI, AKKARAVILA,
PARUTHIPALLIL, PARUTHIPALLY P.O., NEDUMANGADU TALUK.**

**R1-R3 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.MANOJ P.KUNJACHAN
R5,6 BY ADV. SRI.SREEKANTH S.NAIR**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 21-07-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1. TRUE COPY OF EXTRACT OF DISPOSAL OF PETITION WHICH WAS ON
2/12/01**
- EXT.P2. TRUE COPY OF REPRESENTATION DATED 16/7/01 BEFORE
TAHSILDAR, NEDUMANGAD**
- EXT.P3. TRUE COPY OF REPRESENTATION DATED 4/12/01 BEFORE
R.D.O.THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**
- EXT.P4. TRUE COPY OF NOTICE DATED 25/3/02**
- EXT.P5. TRUE COPY OF APPEAL DATED 8/4/02 BEFORE R2**
- EXT.P6. TRUE COPY OF COMMUNICATION DATED 14/7/04 BY R2**
- EXT.P7. TRUE COPY OF COMMUNICATION DATED 22/8/07**
- EXT.P8. TRUE COPY OF REPRESENTATION DATED 18/3/09 BEFOR R1**
- EXT.P9. TRUE COPY OF NOTICE DTD.27/5/09 BY HEAD SURVEYOR**
- EXT.P10. TRUE COPY OF REMINDER DTD.16/12/09**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT.R5(A): A COPY OF PARTITION DEED NO.1876/1985 DATED 12/7/85.**

/ TRUE COPY /

P.S. TO JUDGE

PJ

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J

W.P(C) No. 534 of 2010

Dated this the 21st day of July, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner in the above writ petition only seeks a direction to the 1st respondent to dispose of Ext.P8. Considering the long lapse of time, in all possibility the same would have been considered. If not, the petitioner would have the right to move the authority afresh who shall consider and dispose of the same expeditiously.

Writ petition would stand closed with the above observations.

Sd/-
(K. VINOD CHANDRAN, JUDGE)

jma

//true copy//

P.A to Judge