

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.SURENDRA MOHAN

THURSDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/23RD MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 6336 of 2006 (D)

PETITIONER(S):

**VIJAYAKUMAR, S/O.PARAMESWARAN NAIR,
AGD 42 YEARS, KAIPPILLI HOUSE, AYYAPPANKAVU P.O.
KOZHUKULLY, NADATHARA, THRISSUR.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.A.SREEJITH
SRI.P.V.SREENIVASAN
SRI.T.C.SURESH MENON**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. ANANDAN, S/O.GOVINDAN, EDATHALA HOUSE,
KOZHUKULLY P.O. AMBALAMoola, NADATHARA.**
- 2. THE SECRETARY,
NADATHARA GRAMA PANCHAYATH, NADATHARA.**
- 3. THE VILLAGE OFFICER, KOZHUKULLY VILLAGE,
KOZHUKULLY.**
- 4. THE TAHSILDAR, THRISSUR,**
- 5. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,
THRISSUR.**

**R,R1 BY ADV. SRI.C.C.THOMAS (SR.)
R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI ABHIJITH LESSLI**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
12-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

kkj

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1:** TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION FILED BY MR.ACHUTHAN
- EXT.P2;** TRUE COPY OF DIRECTION ISSUED BY ADDL. TAHSILDAR TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT
- EXT.P3:** TRUE COPY OF THE MASS PETITION SUBMITTED BY THE FARMERS OF AYYAPPANKAVU KOLE TO THE CONCERNED AUTHORITIES ABOUT ILLEGAL FILING AND TRANSACTIONS
- EXT.P4:** TRUE COPY OF THE INTIMATION OF PERSONAL HEARING ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS

- EXT.R1(A):** PHOTOCOPY OF THE PETITION SUBMITTED BY ONE ACHUTHAN BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED NIL
- EXT.R1(B):** PHOTOCOPY OF THE PETITION DATED 03.03.2006 SUBMITTED BY ONE P.C.GEORGE & ARAVINDAKSHAN BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT
- EXT.R1(C):** PHOTOCOPY OF THE PETITION DATED NIL SUBMITTED BY ONE K.RAMDAS BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT
- EXT.R1(D);** PHOTOCOPY OF THE LETTER DATED 1.7.2006 SENT BY THE AGRICULTURAL OFFICER, NADATHARA TO THE PRESIDENT OF NADATHARA GRAMA PANCHAYATH
- EXT.R1(E):** PHOTOCOPY OF THE LETTER DATED 27.06.2006 SUBMITTED BY THE SECRETARY, KOZHUKULLY PADASEKHARAM TO THE AGRICULTURAL OFFICER, NADATHARA

// TRUE COPY //

PA TO JUDGE

K.SURENDRA MOHAN, J.

W.P.(C) No.6336 of 2006

Dated this the day of February, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The counsel for the petitioner submits that, since the reliefs sought for in W.P.(C) No.6738 of 2006, the connected writ petition are sufficient, this writ petition is unnecessary. Therefore, without prejudice to the contentions of the petitioner in the said writ petition, this writ petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
**K.SURENDRA MOHAN,
JUDGE**

kkj