

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAJI P. CHALY

THURSDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF JULY 2015/25TH ASHADHA, 1937

WA.No. 667 of 2013 () IN WP(C).7804/2013

AGAINST THE ORDER IN W.P.(C) NO.7804/2013 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA DATED 11-04-2013.

APPELLANT/PETITIONER:

M/S. GILLROY SECURITY,
BUILDING NO.432B, BEHIND SILPAKALA RESIDENCY,
CIVIL STATION, ALAPPAT NAGAR ROAD, KAKKANAD P.O.,
KOCHI-682 030
REP. BY ITS PROPRIETOR SRI.COL.GILLROY GEORGE (RETD.)

BY ADV. SRI.M.P.KRISHNAN NAIR

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS:

1. UNION OF INDIA,
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE,
NEW DELHI-110 001.
2. THE SECRETARY,
MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, BLOCK NO.14,
C.G.C.COMPLEX, LODI ROAD, NEW DELHI-110 003.
3. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF RESETTLEMENT,
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
WEST BLOCK IV, R.K.PURAM, NEW DELHI-110 066.
4. DIRECTOR GENERAL OF INDIAN COASTGUARD,
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
COAST GUARD HEADQUARTERS, NATIONAL STADIUM COMPLEX,
NEW DELHI-110001.
5. NO.4 COASTAL GUARD DISTRICT,
(KERALA & MAHE), CALVATHY ROAD, KOCHI-682 001.

R1-R5 BY SRI.N.NAGARESH, ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 16-07-2015, THE COURT
ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

P.T.O.

**ANTONY DOMINIC
&
SHAJI P. CHALY, JJ.**

W.A.No.667 of 2013

Dated this the 16th day of July, 2015

JUDGMENT

Antony Dominic, J.

This appeal is filed against the interim order dated 11.04.2013 passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P.(C) No.7804 of 2013, declining to extend the interim order sought by the appellant who filed the writ petition. The interim prayer sought was to stay Ext.P7, an invitation of quotation for Private Security Arrangement for Coast Guard Assets at the places mentioned therein. The said notice was published on 27th February, 2013 and it is seen that the period of the contract notified thereunder was one year. Though this appeal was admitted by this Court on 23.04.2013, this Court did not pass any interim order.

2. Considering the long lapse of time and also the period of contract specified in Ext.P7 notice, we are not inclined to interfere with the order impugned. According to us,

if at all the appellant has any grievance, it is for them to agitate the same at the time of disposal of the writ petition.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

**ANTONY DOMINIC
JUDGE**

Sd/-

**SHAJI P. CHALY
JUDGE**

//true copy//

P.S. to Judge

St/-