

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/6TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 8439 of 2004 (D)

PETITIONER(S):

**C.K.VARGHESE, S/O.LATE KURIAKOSE, AGED 54 YEARS
RESUIDING AT CHEMMPARAYIL HOUSE, CHELACHUDE P.O.
IDUKKI COLONY VIA, IDUKKI 685 602, [WORKING AS
HEADMASTER, ST.MARY'S LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOL, IDUKK]**

BY ADV. SRI.S.SREEKUMAR

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF EKRALA, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY,
GENERAL EDUCATION (H) DEPARTMENT
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,
IDUKKI AT THODUPUZHA.**
- 4. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER (A.E.O.)
ARAKULAM, IDUKKI DISTRICT.**
- 5. DR.THOMAS MAR ATHANASIOUS, MANAGER,
ST.MARY'S L.P.S.(SMLPS) IDUKKI COLONY, P.O.
IDUKKI 685 602, REP. BY POWER OF ATTORNEY
FR.BINOY JOHN, S/O.P.P.YOHANNAN.**
- 6. P.JITTYAVIRAH, PARARAKATH HOUSE,
MANIPARA P.O. KARIMPAN.**

R,R6 BY ADV. SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED

R, BY ADV. SRI.K.E.HAMZA

R, BY ADV. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. T.R. RAJESH

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 28-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 8439 of 2004 (D)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1	COPY OF ORDER DATD 21.9.72 ISSUED BY REGIONAL DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS, ERNAKULAM.
EXT.P2	COPY OF G.O RT NO.128/98/G.EDN. DATED 9.1.98
EXT.P3	COPY OF ORDER NO.E/1225/2000 K.DIS DATED 8.5.2000
EXT.P4	COPY OF ORDER NO.E/474.99 DATED 15.9.99
EXT.P5	COPY OF ORDER NO.B/1587/2000 DATED 27.10.2000
EXT.P6	COPY OF ORDER DATED 1.8.2000 OF THE 5TH RESPONDENT.
EXT.P7	COPY OF ORDER DATED 19.5.01 OF THE 3RD RESPONDENT.
EXT.P8	COPY OF ORDER DATED 26.12.01 OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
EXT.P9	COPY OF ORDER DATED 12.1.04 OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS:

NIL

// TRUE COPY //

PA TO JUDGE.

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.8439 of 2004 - D

=====

Dated this the 28th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner had filed the above writ petition against the revisional order in favour of the 6th respondent. The 6th respondent had expired during the pendency of the writ petition and despite repeated directions to implead the legal heirs of the 6th respondent, no steps have been taken.

2. In such circumstances, no orders can be passed in the writ petition, the same is dismissed for the further reason that none appears for the petitioner, when the matter was taken up for hearing.

The writ petition is dismissed.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB

// true copy //
P.A to Judge.