

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.SUDHEENDRA KUMAR

WEDNESDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/27TH KARTHIKA, 1937

RPFC.No. 470 of 2014 ()

**-----
AGAINST THE ORDER IN MC 148/2012 of FAMILY COURT, NEDUMANGAD
DATED 07-10-2014
-----**

REVISION PETITIONER(S)/RESPONDENT :

**AMAL DEV.P.S., AGED 28 YEARS,
S/O.PANKAJAKSHAN, KUZHIYAMVILA VEEDU, KAKKAMOOLA
KALLIYOOR P.O., PIN - 685 042, TRIVANDRUM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.P.A.SALIM
SRI.P.M.SHAHJAHAN**

RESPONDENT(S)/PETITIONER :

- 1. ANGEL.M.B, AGED 25 YEARS
THEKKUMKARA THADATHARIKATHU VEEDU, KULAVIKONAM
NEDUMANGADU, NOW RESIDING AT C/O.LUISE
KEEZHEPLAVARA VEEDU, KULAVIKONAM P.O., PIN - 695541
NEDUMANGADU.**
- 2. ALAN DEV , AGED ABOUT 3 ¼ YEARS,
S/O.AMAL DEV, THEKKUMKARA THADATHARIKATHU VEEDU,
KULAVIKONAM, NEDUMANGADU,
NOW RESIDING AT C/O.LUISE, KEEZHE PLAVARA VEEDU
KULAVIKONAM P.O., PIN - 695 541, NEDUMANGADU.**

**THIS REV.PETITION(FAMILY COURT) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 18-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

AMV

B.SUDHEENDRA KUMAR, J.

.....
R.P.(F.C) NO.470 of 2014
.....

Dated this the 18th day of November, 2015

ORDER

When the matter has been taken up for consideration, the learned counsel for the revision petitioner has prayed for granting permission to withdraw the revision petition. Suffice to say that having gone through the order impugned, I do not find any reason to interfere with the order impugned.

2. In the result, this revision petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

However, the dismissal of this revision petition will not take away the rights of the revision petitioner if any, in approaching the court below under Section 127 Cr.P.C.

Sd/-
B.SUDHEENDRA KUMAR
JUDGE

AMV/20/11/15

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE