

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.HARILAL

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF MAY 2015/7TH JYAISHTA, 1937

RPFC.No. 206 of 2014 ()

AGAINST THE ORDER IN MC 39/2013 of FAMILY COURT, TIRUR DATED 21-02-2014

REVISION PETITIONER/RESPONDENT :

VINOD KUMAR
S/O.VELAYUDHAN NAIR, KARAT THRIKOVIL HOUSE,
AINKALAM P.O., THAVANUR PANCHAYAT
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.P.VENUGOPAL (1086/92)
SMT.T.J.MARIA GORETTI

RESPONDENTS/PETITIONERS :

-
1. SHINI M.V., D/O.VENUGOPALAN,
'VAISHNAVAM' - MANJEERI VALAPPIL, VALANCHERI,
VAIKATHUR, KATTIPARUTHI AMSOM, VAIKATHUR DESOM,
NEAR VALANCHERI HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, PIN - 676 552.
 2. SAYOOJ KRISHNA (MINOR)
REPRESENTED BY THE FIRST RESPONDENT.

R1 & R2 BY ADV. SRI.K.K.MOHAMED RAVUF

THIS REV.PETITION (FAMILY COURT) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 28-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED
THE FOLLOWING:

AMV

K.HARILAL, J.

.....
R.P.(F.C.) No. 206 of 2014 ()

.....
Dated this the 28th day of May, 2015

ORDER

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the entire matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and the respondents have been settled amicably by mutual consent and entered into Annexure-A agreement to that effect and in view of the settlement the petitioner does not intend to proceed with this revision petition. The learned counsel for the respondents also agrees with the said submission and admitted that entire dispute between the parties have been settled by way of mutual consent, which culminated in Annexure-A agreement.

2. Needless to say all rights and liberties with respect to the issue involved in this revision petition will be governed by terms and settlement in Annexure-A

agreement and the settlement will stand recorded.

In view of the above submissions, this revision petition is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-
K.HARILAL,
JUDGE.

AMV/28/05/

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE