

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/26TH POUSHA, 1936

RP.No. 1016 of 2014 ()

(AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C).NO. 480/2014 DATED 22-11-2014)

REVIEW PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONERS:

1. K.O.JOSE,
MOOLEKKUDY HOUSE, KAVUMKARA, MARKET P.O.,
MUVATTUPUZHA. (WORK ASSISTANT, BADGE NO.12580,
CAPTIVE POWER PLANT, FACT(PD) LTD., UDYOGAMANDAL).
2. MOHANDAS P.R.,
CHITTUKKUNNIL HOUSE, MUPPATHADAM P.O.,
ALUVA. (WORK ASSISTANT, BADGE NO.12520, OFF-SITE PLANT,
FACT (PD) LTD., UDYOGAMANDAL).
3. SHAJI T.K.,
KUZHIKANDATHIL HOUSE, ELOOR SOUTH,
UDYOGMANDAL P.O. (WORK ASSISTANT, BADGE NO.12517,
OFF-SITE PLANT, FACT (PD) LTD., UDYOGMANDAL).

BY ADVS.SRI.A.JAYASANKAR
SRI.C.V.MANUVILSAN
SRI.MANU GOVIND

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:

1. THE FERTILIZERS AND CHEMICALS TRAVANCORE-PETROCHEMICAL
DIVISION,UDYOGMANDAL COMPLEX, UDYOGMANDAL,
ERNAKULAM-683 501,
REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL MANAGER (HR).
2. THE GENERAL MANAGER (HR),
FACT, UDYOGAMANDAL COMPLEX, UDYOGMANDAL P.O.,
ERNAKULAM-683 501.
3. FACT EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION,
REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL SECRETARY,
UDYOGAMANDAL P.O., ERNAKULAM-683 501.
4. FACT (PD) WORKERS ORGANIZATION,
REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL SECRETARY,
UDYOGAMANDAL P.O., ERNAKULAM-683 501.

R.P.NO.1016/2014

- 5. FACT EMPLOYEES CONGRESS (INTUC),
REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL SECRETARY,
UDYOGAMANDAL P.O., ERNAKULAM-683 501.**
- 6. FACT EMPLOYEES SANGH (BMS),
REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL SECRETARY,
UDYOGAMANDAL P.O., ERNAKULAM-683 501.**

R1 & R2 BY SRI.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR

**THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 16-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

sts

K.VINOD CHANDRAN, J

R.P. 1016 of 2014

in

W.P.(C).480 of 2014

Dated 16th January, 2015

ORDER

The petitioners in the writ petition submitted that there was no refusal on the part of the 1st and 3rd petitioners to go back to the Petrochemicals Division and the petitioners may be permitted to go back to the same. However the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the Company would submit that there is no Petrochemicals Division as such as of now, since the same has been suspended due to losses of the Company. In such circumstances, despite the willingness being expressed, the 1st and 3rd petitioners cannot be send back to the Petrochemicals Division. However if Petrochemicals Division starts operation, they could make representation on that behalf in which situation, Management would be called upon

to consider the claim, in accordance with the law.

With the above observation, the Review Petition is dismissed.

Sd/-

K.VINOD CHANDRAN
Judge

Mrcs

//True Copy//