

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC  
&  
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRAN**

**MONDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/20TH MAGHA, 1936**

**RP.No. 1004 of 2014 () IN OT.Rev.201/2014**  
-----

**(AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN O.T.REV.NO.201/14 DATED 13/11/14)**

**REVIEW PETITIONER(S):**  
-----

**SRI.ABDUL RAHEEM  
KAIPURATH HOUSE, ERAMANGALAM, BALUSSERY  
KOZHIKKODE.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.M.P.SHAMEEM AHAMED  
SRI.S.K.SAJU  
SRI.A.RANJITH NARAYANAN**

**RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT/REVENUE:**  
-----

**STATE OF KERALA  
(REPRESENTED BY THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER (LAW)ERNAKULAM)  
PIN - 682 018.**

**BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.RENNY STEPHEN CHAMAPARAMBIL**

**THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 09-02-2015,  
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

**ANTONY DOMINIC & ANIL K.NARENDRAN, JJ.**

=====

**R.P.No.1004 OF 2014**

**in**

**O.T.Rev.No.201 of 2014**

=====

**Dated this the 9<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2015**

**O R D E R**

Antony Dominic, J.

Even going by the submissions made, the grounds urged now were not urged when the OTR was heard. The fact that the ground now urged was mentioned in the revision petition is of no consequence and unless the ground pleaded was urged before this Court, this Court cannot be expected to consider the same. This, therefore, means that the judgment cannot be faulted for any error apparent on the face of it.

2. We are not satisfied that grounds of review have been made out.

Review petition is dismissed.

Sd/-  
**ANTONY DOMINIC  
JUDGE**

Sd/-  
**ANIL K.NARENDRAN  
JUDGE**

Rp

//True Copy//

PA to Judge