

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

WEDNESDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/27TH KARTHIKA, 1937

RP. No. 869 of 2014 (J) IN WP(C).13494/2014

**-----
AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 13494/2014 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 23-07-2014**

REVIEW PETITIONER(S)/RESPONDENTS 1 & 2:

- 1. VADAVUKODE PUTHENCruz GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
PUTHENCruz.P.O., ERNAKULAM PIN-682308.**
- 2. VADAVUKODE PUTHENCruz GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
VADAVUKODE PUTHENCruz GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
PUTHENCruz.P.O., ERNAKULAM-682308.**

BY ADV. SRI.BABU CHERUKARA

RESPONDENT(S)/PETITIONER:

**T.C. VARGHESE
THENUM MOOLAYIL, VARIKKOLI.P.O., PUTHENCruz
ERNAKULAM.**

BY SRI.GOKUL DAS V.V.H.

**THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 18-11-2015, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

RP.No. 869 of 2014 (J)

APPENDIX

REVIEW PETITIONERS' ANNEXURES:-

A:- COPY OF THE RESOLUTION NO.II(1) DATED 17.1.2014.

B:- COPY OF THE DECISION OF THE PANCHAYAT COMMITTEE DATED 27.6.2014.

RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES:- NIL

KRJ

//TRUE COPY//

PA TO JUDGE

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

=====

C.M.Appln. No.347 of 2014

&

R.P No.869 of 2014 in

W.P(C) No.13494 of 2014

=====

Dated this the 18th day of November, 2015

ORDER

The review petitioners are the respondents in the writ petition.

2. Though notice has been duly served on the respondents in the writ petition, they did not turn up. Accordingly after hearing the petitioner and perusing the records, the impugned judgment was passed. The review petitioners cannot point out any error apparent on the face of the record to entertain a review petition like this. No sufficient reasons are stated for condoning the delay in filing the review petition also. Therefore, the delay petition is dismissed.

Consequently, the review petition is also dismissed.

Sd/-

**A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE**

krj