

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE**

THURSDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF JULY 2015/8TH SRAVANA, 1937

WA.No. 1503 of 2009 () IN WP(C).23961/2007

**AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 23961/2007 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 11-09-2007**

APPELLANT(S)/RESPONDENTS 2 & 3 IN THE W.P:

- 1. THE DEPUTY TAHSILDAR (RR)
REVENUE RECOVERY, KERALA STATE FINANCIAL ENTERPRISES
THRISSUR.**
- 2. THE VILLAGE OFFICER,
KURUVILASSERY VILLAGE OFFICE, KURUVILASSERY
MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK.**

BY ADV. SR GOVERNMENT PLEADERSRI.P.IDAVIS

RESPONDENT(S)/1ST RESPONDENT & PETITIONER & R4 TO 7 IN THE WP:

- 1. THE KERALA STATE FINANCE ENTERPRISES,
CHALAKKUDY
REP. BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER, CHALAKKUDY
THRISSUR.**
- 2. ELSA, D/O.VITHAYATHIL FRANCIS,
SNEHAGIRI DESOM, MALA, KURUVILASSERY VILLAGE
MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK.**
- * 3. GOPI, S/O.VASU,
MANGADATH HOUSE, MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK.**
- *4. MRIDULA, W/O.GOPI,
MANGADATH HOUSE, MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK.**

***(RESPONDENTS 3 AND 4 ARE DELETED FROM THE PARTY ARRAY AS PER ORDER IN
IA NO.984/2015 DATED 30/7/2015)**

- 5. SAJEEVAN, S/O.KARAPPILLY MADHAVAN,
KURUVILASSERY VILLAGE DESOM, MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK.**
- 6. JOHNSON, S/O.KAREKATTU ANTHONI,
MALA, SNEHAGIRI DESOM, KURUVILASSERY VILLAGE
MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK.**

**BY SRI.G.SREEKUMAR (CHELUR)
BY SRI.ASOK M.CHERIYAN, SC, K.S.F.E. LTD.**

**THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 30-07-2015, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

ASHOK BHUSHAN, C.J.

&

A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

=====

C.M.Appln.No.687 of 2009

&

W.A. No. 1503 of 2009

=====

Dated this, the 30th day of July, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Shaffique, J.

C.M.Appln.No.687/2009 is an application to condone delay of 596 days in filing the appeal. It is stated in the affidavit that after receiving the judgment, the same was placed before the concerned Government Pleader and legal opinion was sought. Thereafter steps were taken for filing the appeal and in the process, there occurred a delay of 596 days.

Having regard to the fact that there is no satisfactory explanation, we do not think that delay in filing the appeal requires to be condoned. Hence, the delay petition is dismissed. Consequently, the writ appeal shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

ASHOK BHUSHAN, CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-

A.M. SHAFFIQUE, JUDGE

Rp

W.A. W.P(C) No.

-:2:-

//True Copy//

PS to Judge