

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.HARILAL

FRIDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF JULY 2015/19TH ASHADHA, 1937

RPFC.No. 22 of 2015 ()

MC 150/2012 of FAMILY COURT, ALAPPUZHA

REVISION PETITIONER/COUNTER PETITIONER IN M.C:

NISSAM, AGED 30 YEARS
S/O.SHAUKATH, RESIDING AT KANEPARAMBIL, KAKKAZHAM.P.O.
AMBALAPPUZHA SOUTH VILLAGE, AMBALAPPUZHA TALUK
ALAPPUZHA.

BY ADVS.SRI.R.SURAJ KUMAR
SMT.V.BEENA
SRI.SUNIL J.CHAKKALACKAL

RESPONDENT(S)/PETITIONERS IN M.C:

1. NISHAMOL, AGED 23 YEARS
W/O.NISSAM, THAIPARAMBIL VEEDU, ALLISSERY WARD
ALAPPUZHA WEST VILLAGE (NOW RESIDING AT PANICKAL VEEDU
CHANGANASSERY MUKKU, ERAVUKADU WARD
SANATHANAPURAM.P.O., KALARCODE, AMBALAPPUZHA TALUK
ALAPPUZHA.

2. FIDHA FATHIMA, AGED 3 YEARS
(MINOR), D/O.NISSAM, THAIPARAMBIL VEEDU
ALLISSERY WARD
ALAPPUZHA WEST VILLAGE (NOW RESIDING AT PANICKAL VEEDU
CHANGANASSERY MUKKU, ERAVUKADU WARD
SANATHANAPURAM.P.O., KALARCODE, AMBALAPPUZHA TALUK
ALAPPUZHA. [REPRESENTED BY HER MOTHER,
THE 1ST RESPONDENT]

* 3. STATE OF KERALA (DELETED)
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.

* 3rd RESPONDENT IS DELETED FROM PARTY ARRAY AS PER ORDER
DATED 24.02.2015 IN RPFC NO.22/15.

R1 & 2 BY ADV. SRI.K.K.SATHEESH

THIS REV.PETITION (FAMILY COURT) HAVING BEEN FINALLY
HEARD ON 10-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

STU

K.HARILAL, J.

=====

R.P.(F.C) No.22 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 10th day of July, 2015

ORDER

During the pendency of this revision petition, the matter was referred to mediation on the request of both parties and in the mediation, the matter in dispute has been settled amicably and the terms and conditions are attached along with the report filed by the Mediator. The learned counsel for the respondents seeks a clarification in clause No.2 so as to enable the 1st respondent to withdraw the monthly interest from the bank as the 2nd respondent is a minor. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has no objection in permitting the 1st respondent to withdraw the monthly interest from the bank for and on behalf of the 2nd respondent. Thus, in view of the submissions at the Bar, the 1st respondent is allowed to withdraw the monthly interest from the bank for and on

behalf of the 2nd respondent and this also will form a part of the terms of settlement. Thus, the terms of settlement are recorded and this RPFC will stand closed.

Sd/-
K.HARILAL, JUDGE.

Stu

//True copy//

P.A to Judge