

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/18TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

RP.No. 1152 of 2015 () IN WP(C).29667/2015

**AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 29667/2015 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 06-11-2015**

REVIEW PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER IN THE WRIT PETITION:

**BOBY THOMAS
W/O.LATE C.A.JOHN, CHENNATTU, NEDIYAKALAYIL
VADASSERIKKARA P.O. PATHANAMTHITTA - 689 662(UPPER PRIMARY
SCHOOL ASSISTANT
TTM (TT THOMASMEMORIAL) VOCATIONAL HIGHER SECONDARY
SCHOOL VADASSERIKKARA)**

BY ADV. SRI.S.SUBHASH CHAND

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS IN THE WRIT PETITION:

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL EDUCATION
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**
- 3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
PATHANAMTHITTA.**
- 4. THE HEAD MISTRESS
TT THOMAS MEMORIAL VOCATIONAL HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL
(TTMVHSS)
VADASSERIKKARA P.O.PATHANAMTHITTA.**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE

**THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 09-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

RP.No. 1152 of 2015 () IN WP(C).29667/2015

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS:

**ANNEXURE1 ORDER BEARING NO.B3/3791/15/K.DIS DTD. 28.9.15 PASSED
BY RESPONDENT NO.3.**

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS: NIL

// TRUE COPY //

PA TO JUDGE

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

**R.P No. 1152 of 2015 in
W.P.(C) No.29667 of 2015 -G**

=====

Dated this the 09th day of December, 2015

ORDER

The petitioner by the above review petition seeks further orders in the writ petition. In fact the writ petition was for implementation of the orders passed by the D.P.I; by the D.E.O. The DPI had directed approval of the petitioner from 01.06.2011 onwards. When the matter came up for hearing, the learned Government Pleader produced an order of the D.E.O itself, which was in implementation of the D.P.I's orders. The petitioner's complaint is that the D.E.O is refusing to disburse the salary, on the ground that the judgment does not contain such a direction.

2. If the D.E.O has taken such a contention, this Court has to notice that such conduct has to be deprecated. However, since, no instructions were taken by the learned Government

R.P No. 1152 of 2015 in
W.P.(C) No.29667 of 2015 -G

Pleader; this Court would not make any such observation as of now. When the D.E.O has passed an order granting the approval, the disbursement of salary from the date on which the approval has been granted, is a necessary consequence. The D.E.O shall take steps to disburse the salary, within a period of six weeks from today.

The review petition hence would stand disposed of with the above direction.

Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE

SB/09/12/2015

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.