

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

THURSDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/25TH POUSHA, 1936

RPNo. 114 of 2014 ()

(AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C).NO.11578/2013 DATED 07-08-2013)

REVIEW PETITIONER/6TH PETITIONER IN W.P[C]:

ADILA P.T.,D/O.MOHAMMED BASHEER,
PILATHOTTATHIL, MADRASA BAZAR,
SOUTH KODUVALLY, P.O.KODUVALLY,
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.R.K.MURALEEDHARAN

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:

-
1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY,
HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.
 2. THE SCHEDULED CASTE/SCHEDULED TRIBE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.
 3. THE BACKWARD COMMUNITIES DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR, AYYANKALI BHAVAN,
VELLAYAMBALAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.
 4. THE COMMISSIONER OF ENTRANCE EXAMINATION,
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF ENTRANCE EXAMINATIONS,
VTH FLOOR, HOUSING BOARD BUILDINGS, SANTHI NAGAR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.

R1 TO R4 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.THOMAS JOHN AMBOOKEN

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 15-01-2015, ALONG WITH R.P.NO.488 OF 2014, THE COURT
ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

sts

R.P.NO.114/2014

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES:

**ANNEX A1 COPY OF THE RESOLUTION NO.F.1/2005-U.IA/846 DATED 20/04/2008
ISSUED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF HUMAN
RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION.**

RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES: NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.S.TO.JUDGE

sts

A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J.

R.P.No.114 of 2014
in
W.P.(C) No.11578 of 2013 &
R.P.No.488 of 2014
in
W.P.(C) No.29271 of 2012

Dated this the 15th day of January, 2015

ORDER

There is no representation for the petitioner. It is submitted by the learned Special Government Pleader that the direction issued by this Court as per the judgment dated 07.08.2013 in W.P.(C) Nos.29271/2012 and 11578/2013 has already been complied with. Under such circumstances, I do not think it necessary to proceed with the review petition, and if at all the review petitioner is aggrieved with the aforesaid judgment, she has a right of appeal.

Under the circumstances, the review petitions and the delay petitions are dismissed.

Sd/-
A.M.SHAFFIQUE,
JUDGE

//true copy//

P.S. To Judge

St/-