

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI**

THURSDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/21ST PHALGUNA, 1936

RP.No. 53 of 2014 () IN Mat.Appeal.775/2013

**AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN Mat.Appeal 775/2013 of HIGH COURT OF
KERALA DATED 13/12/2013**

REVIEW PETITIONER(S)/RESPONDENT IN THE MATAPPEAL:

**RANKESH JAYAN, AGED 42 YEARS
S/O.SREEDHARAN, DEVASWOM CHIRA, SANATHANAM WARD
ALAPPUZHA.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.S.MUHAMMED HANEEFF
SRI.R.KRISHNAKUMAR (CHERTHALA)**

RESPONDENT(S)/APPELLANT IN THE MAT.APPEAL:

**FIJO T.JOSEPH,, AGED 34 YEARS
D/O.JOSEPH JAMES, BUILDING NI.459-9/18
INFRA HILLOCK PHASE III, PARIJATHAM ROAD
CHENGAMPUZHA NAGAR, ERNAKULAM NOW AT THALAKULAM
SANTHANAM WARD, ALAPPUZHA.**

BY SRI.G.PRIYADARSAN THAMPI

**THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 12-03-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

ANTONY DOMINIC & A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, JJ.

=====

R.P.No.53 of 2014

in

M.A. No. 775 of 2013

=====

Dated this the 12th day of March, 2015

O R D E R

Antony Dominic, J.

Pursuant to the order dated 12th of February, 2015, report dated 20/2/15 has been obtained from the Family Court, Alappuzha, which shows that OP (G&W) Nos.442/13 and 749/13 filed by both sides for custody of the minor children are pending and were posted to 20/2/15 for evidence. In this factual position, the submission made by the review petitioner that OP (G&W) No.442/13 is not pending and therefore the judgment requires review is incorrect. This factual position is confirmed by the learned counsel for the respondent also, who states that both cases are posted to today for cross examination of the parties. In such circumstances, we do not see any merit in this review petition.

Review petition is closed.

Sd/-

**ANTONY DOMINIC
JUDGE**

Sd/-

**A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE**

Rp